

## **SEPRAN**

SEPRA ANALYSIS

Theoretical MANUAL

### Theoretical MANUAL

January 2008

Ingenieursbureau SEPRA Park Nabij 3 2491 EG Den Haag The Netherlands Tel. 31 - 70 3871624 Fax. 31 - 70 3871943

Copyright ©2003-2008 Ingenieursbureau SEPRA.

All Rights Reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means; electronic, electrostatic, magnetic tape, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without permission in writing from the author.

TH Contents January 2007 1

#### Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Not yet defined
- 3 Second order elliptic and parabolic equations
- 4 Elements for lubrication theory
- 5 Mechanical elements
  - 5.1 Linear elastic problems
  - 5.2 Linear incompressible or nearly incompressible elastic problems
  - 5.3 Non-linear solid computation
    - 5.4 Nonlinear solid computation using a Total Lagrange approach
    - 5.4.1 Nonlinear solid computation using an Updated Lagrange approach
  - 5.5 (Thick) plate elements
  - 5.6 Time integration of solids
    - 5.6.1 The Newmark scheme
    - 5.6.2 The Generalized  $\alpha$  scheme
    - 5.6.3 The generalized  $\alpha$  scheme for updated Lagrange formulation with Newton linearization
- 6 Solidification problems
- 7 Flow problems
  - 7.1 The isothermal laminar flow of incompressible liquids
    - 7.1.1 Computation of the surface tension
- 8 Second order elliptic and parabolic equations using spectral elements
- 9 References
- 10 Index

2 Contents January 2007 TH

TH Introduction February 2003 1.1

## 1 Introduction

In this manual some theoretical background used by the SEPRAN package is given. The same subdivision as in the manual Standard Problems is used.

1.2 Introduction February 2003 TH

TH Unknown February 2003 2.1

# 2 Unknown

2.2 Unknown February 2003 TH

TH Elliptic equations February 2003 3.1

# 3 Second order elliptic and parabolic equations

**3**.2

TH Lubrication February 2003 4.1

# 4 Elements for lubrication theory

4.2 Lubrication February 2003 TH

TH Mechanical elements September 2004 5.1

### 5 Mechanical elements

In this chapter some mechanical elements are described. The following sections are available:

- 5.1 is devoted to standard linear elastic problems.
- 5.2 treats incompressible or nearly incompressible elasticity.
- 5.3 is devoted to non-linear elasticity problems.
- 5.5 deals with (thick) plates.

TH Linear elasticity September 2004 **5.1**.1

# 5.1 Linear elastic problems

# 5.2 Linear incompressible or nearly incompressible elastic problems

 ${\bf Incompressible\ materials}$ 

Incompressible materials

#### 5.3 Nonlinear solid computation

Non-linear solid mechanics problems can be solved either by a Total Lagrange approach or an updated Lagrange approach. In SEPRAN elements for both types of equations are available. Section (5.4) treats elements using the Total Lagrange approach. Elements using the updated Lagrange approach are treated in Section (5.4.1).

Nonlinear solid computation

September 2004

# Nonlinear solid computation using a Total Lagrange approach

Total-Lagrange approach

### 5.4.1 Nonlinear solid computation using an Updated Lagrange approach

### Linearized weak form of the governing equations

As point of departure we take the equations of conservation of mass and momentum:

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \vec{0} & \text{in } \Omega(t) \\ \det(\boldsymbol{F}) - 1 = 0 & \text{in } \Omega(t) \end{cases}$$
 (5.4.1.1a)

The Cauchy stress can be written as:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = -p\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\tau} \tag{5.4.1.2}$$

We define weighting functions  $\vec{w} \in \vec{W}$  and  $q \in Q$  for the balance equations of mass and momentum, respectively. Here  $\vec{W} = \{\vec{w} \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^3\}$  with  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  the Hilbert space satisfying the homogeneous version of the Dirichlet boundary conditions, and  $Q = \{q \in L^2(\Omega); \int_{\Omega} q d\Omega = 0\}$ . Then the weak form of (eq. 5.4.1.1b) is given by:

$$\begin{cases}
\int_{\Omega_t} (\operatorname{grad} \vec{w})^T : \boldsymbol{\sigma} &= \int_{\Gamma_t} \vec{w} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \vec{n}) \\
\int_{\Omega_t} q(J-1) &= 0
\end{cases} \tag{5.4.1.3}$$

where we used the abbreviation  $J = \det \mathbf{F}$ . To evaluate the integrals, they must be transformed from the current, unknown, configuration, to a known configuration. Following an updated Lagrange approach, we choose the last known configuration  $\Omega_n$  as a reference and define:

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_{\Delta} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{n}$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{n} = (\operatorname{grad}_{0} \vec{x}_{n})^{T}$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{\Delta} = (\operatorname{grad}_{n} \vec{x})^{T}$$

$$(5.4.1.4)$$

where grad n denotes the spatial gradient operator with respect to configuration  $\Omega_n^{-1}$ :

$$\operatorname{grad}_{n} = \boldsymbol{F}_{\Delta}^{T} \cdot \operatorname{grad} \tag{5.4.1.5}$$

Transformation yields:

$$\begin{cases}
\int_{\Omega_{n}} (\operatorname{grad}_{n} \vec{w})^{T} : \mathbf{F}_{\Delta}^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} J_{\Delta} = \\
\int_{\Gamma_{n}} n \vec{w} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{F}_{\Delta}^{-T} \cdot \vec{n}_{n})) J_{\Delta} \\
\int_{\Omega_{n}} q(J-1) J_{\Delta} = 0
\end{cases} (5.4.1.6)$$

### Linearization

The nonlinear set of equations (5.4.1.6) is solved using Newton iterations. The (unknown) converged solution  $(\vec{x}, p)$  on  $\Omega$  is written as the sum of an estimate,  $(\hat{\vec{x}}, \hat{p})$ , and an error  $(\delta \vec{x}, \delta p)$ 

$$\vec{x} = \hat{\vec{x}} + \delta \vec{x} \tag{5.4.1.7}$$

$$p = \hat{p} + \delta p \tag{5.4.1.8}$$

All other quantities in (5.4.1.6) can be written similarly, giving:

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{\Delta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta} + \delta \boldsymbol{F}_{\Delta} \quad ; \quad \boldsymbol{\tau} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}} + \delta \boldsymbol{\tau} \quad ; \quad J = \hat{J} + \delta J$$
 (5.4.1.9)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Use grad  $\vec{x} = I = F_{\Delta}^{-T} \cdot F_{\Delta}^{T} = F_{\Delta}^{-T} \operatorname{grad}_{n} \vec{x}$ .

Substituting these expressions in (5.4.1.6) and neglecting the second order terms yields:

$$\begin{cases}
\int_{\Omega_{n}} (\operatorname{grad}_{n} \vec{w})^{T} : \delta \boldsymbol{F}_{\Delta}^{-1} \cdot (-\hat{p}\boldsymbol{I} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}})\hat{J}_{\Delta} + \\
\int_{\Omega_{n}} (\operatorname{grad}_{n} \vec{w})^{T} : \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-1} \cdot (-\delta p\boldsymbol{I} + \delta \boldsymbol{\tau})\hat{J}_{\Delta} + \\
\int_{\Omega_{n}} (\operatorname{grad}_{n} \vec{w})^{T} : \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-1} \cdot (-\hat{p}\boldsymbol{I} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}})\delta J_{\Delta} - \\
\int_{\Omega_{n}} n\vec{w} \cdot \left(\delta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-T} \cdot \vec{n}_{n}\hat{J}_{\Delta} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{F}_{\Delta}^{-T} \cdot \vec{n}_{n}\hat{J}_{\Delta} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-T} \cdot \vec{n}_{n}\delta J_{\Delta}\right) = \\
-\int_{\Omega_{n}} (\operatorname{grad}_{n} \vec{w})^{T} : \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-1} \cdot (-\hat{p}\boldsymbol{I} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}})\hat{J}_{\Delta} + \\
\int_{\Omega_{n}} n\vec{w} \cdot (\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot (\hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-T} \cdot \vec{n}_{n}))\hat{J}_{\Delta} \\
\int_{\Omega_{n}} q \left((\hat{J} - 1)\delta J_{\Delta} + \delta J\hat{J}_{\Delta}\right) = -\int_{\Omega_{n}} q(\hat{J} - 1)\hat{J}_{\Delta}
\end{cases} \tag{5.4.1.10}$$

Note that the right hand side of the first equation expresses the imbalance of momentum in the estimated configuration, as a consequence of the errors  $\delta \tau$ ,  $\delta p$ ,  $\delta F_{\Delta}^{-1}$ ,  $\delta J_{\Delta}$  in the left hand side. This imbalance is used to iteratively reduce these errors. Commonly, in this iteration process the left hand side is approximated by neglecting the surface integral and the integral related to the error  $\delta J_{\Delta}$ . This might reduce convergence speed but will not influence the final solution, since no approximation is performed in the right hand side. Similarly, the right hand side of the second equation expresses the imbalance of mass, due to the errors  $\delta J$  and  $\delta J_{\Delta}$ . Here, commonly the term with the error  $\delta J_{\Delta}$  is excluded in the iteration procedure.

If the error  $\delta \vec{x}$  is sufficiently small, the errors  $\delta F_{\Delta}^{-1}$ ,  $\delta J$  and  $\delta \tau$  can be written as (van de Vosse,  $2003)^2$ 

$$\delta \mathbf{F}_{\Delta}^{-1} = -\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{\Delta}^{-1} \cdot (\operatorname{grad} \delta \vec{x})^{T}$$

$$\delta J = \hat{J}(\operatorname{grad} \cdot \delta \vec{x})$$

$$\delta J_{\Delta} = J_{\Delta}(\operatorname{grad} \cdot \delta \vec{x})$$

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\tau} = {}^{4}\hat{\mathbf{M}} : (\operatorname{grad} \delta \vec{x})^{T}$$
(5.4.1.11)

where the tensor  ${}^4\hat{M}$  is related to the stiffness of the material in the estimated configuration, and depends on the choice of the constitutive relation.

Neglecting the left hand side terms, discussed above, and using (5.4.1.5) and (5.4.1.11), equation (5.4.1.10) can be rewritten with respect to the estimated configuration  $\hat{\Omega}$  as:

$$\begin{cases}
\int_{\hat{\Omega}} (g\hat{r} a d \vec{w})^T : (^4 \hat{\boldsymbol{M}} : (g\hat{r} a d \delta \vec{x})^T) - \\
\int_{\hat{\Omega}} (g\hat{r} a d \vec{w})^T : (g\hat{r} a d \delta \vec{x})^T \cdot (-\hat{p} \boldsymbol{I} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}) + \\
\int_{\hat{\Omega}} (g\hat{r} a d \vec{w})^T : (-\hat{p} \boldsymbol{I} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}})(grad \cdot \delta \vec{x}) + \\
\int_{\hat{\Omega}} (g\hat{r} a d \vec{w})^T : (-\delta p \boldsymbol{I}) = \\
-\int_{\hat{\Omega}} (g\hat{r} a d \vec{w})^T : (-\hat{p} \boldsymbol{I} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}) + \int_{\Gamma_n} h \vec{w} \cdot (\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \hat{n}) \\
\int_{\hat{\Omega}} q \hat{J}(grad \cdot \delta \vec{x}) = -\int_{\hat{\Omega}} q(\hat{J} - 1)
\end{cases} (5.4.1.12)$$

 $<sup>\</sup>begin{array}{c} \hline \\ ^{2} \text{ Use } \boldsymbol{F}_{\Delta}^{-1} = (\hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta} + \delta \boldsymbol{F}_{\Delta})^{-1} = [\hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta} \cdot (\boldsymbol{I} + \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-1} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{F}_{\Delta})]^{-1} = (\boldsymbol{I} + \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-1} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{F}_{\Delta})^{-1} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-1} \approx (\boldsymbol{I} - \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-1} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{F}_{\Delta}) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-1} = \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-1} - \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-1} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{F}_{\Delta} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-1} = \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-1} - \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-1} \cdot (\hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-T} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{F}_{\Delta}^{T})^{T} = \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-1} - \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-1} \cdot (\hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-T} \cdot \operatorname{grad}_{n} \delta \vec{x})^{T} = \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-1} - \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{\Delta}^{-1} \cdot (\operatorname{grad} \delta \vec{x})^{T}; \\ \boldsymbol{J} = \det(\boldsymbol{F}) = \det(\hat{\boldsymbol{F}} + \delta \boldsymbol{F}) = \det((\boldsymbol{I} \cdot (\delta \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}^{-1}) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}) = \det(\boldsymbol{I} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}^{-1}) \det(\hat{\boldsymbol{F}}) \approx \hat{\boldsymbol{J}}(1 + \operatorname{tr}(\delta \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{F}}^{-1})) = \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} \operatorname{tr}((\hat{\boldsymbol{F}}^{-T} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{F}^{T})^{T}) = \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} \operatorname{tr}((\hat{\boldsymbol{F}}^{-T} \cdot \operatorname{grad}_{0} \delta \vec{x})^{T}) = \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} \operatorname{tr}((\hat{\boldsymbol{g}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{J}}^{T})^{T}) = \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} \operatorname{tr}((\hat{\boldsymbol{F}}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \hat{\boldsymbol{J}}^{T})^{T}) = \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} \operatorname{tr}((\hat{\boldsymbol{J}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{J}}^{T})^{T}) = \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} \operatorname{tr}(\hat{\boldsymbol{J}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{J}}^{T})^{T}) = \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} \operatorname{tr}(\hat{\boldsymbol{J}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{J}}^{T})^{T} = \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} \operatorname{tr}(\hat{\boldsymbol{J}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{J}}^{T})^{T}) = \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} \operatorname{tr}(\hat{\boldsymbol{J}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{J}}^{T})^{T} = \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{J}} \operatorname{tr}(\hat{\boldsymbol{J}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{J}$ 

### Elaboration of the linearized weighted residual formulation

We will now transform the coordinate free equation (5.4.1.12) into a matrix formulation with respect to a Cartesian basis in 3D space. For clarity, we omit the indication that all quantities are taken with respect to the estimated configuration  $\hat{\Omega}$ .

First we store the components of the tensor grad  $\vec{w}$  in a column  $H_{w}$ :

$$\tilde{H}_{w} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \partial w_{1}}{\partial \partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial \partial w_{2}}{\partial \partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial \partial w_{3}}{\partial \partial x_{3}} & \frac{\partial \partial w_{2}}{\partial \partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial \partial w_{3}}{\partial \partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial \partial w_{1}}{\partial \partial x_{3}} & \frac{\partial \partial w_{1}}{\partial \partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial \partial w_{2}}{\partial \partial x_{3}} & \frac{\partial \partial w_{3}}{\partial \partial x_{1}} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} H_{w11} & H_{w22} & H_{w33} & H_{w12} & H_{w23} & H_{w31} & H_{w21} & H_{w32} & H_{w13} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \qquad (5.4.1.13)$$

Similarly, the components of grad  $\delta \vec{x}$  are stored as:

Next, because equation (5.4.1.12) contains terms  $(\text{grad } \vec{w})^T : A$ , we evaluate the double dot product:

$$(\operatorname{grad} \vec{w})^{T} : \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{H}_{w} : \mathbf{A}$$

$$= H_{w11}A_{11} + H_{w22}A_{22} + H_{w33}A_{33} + H_{w12}A_{21} + H_{w23}A_{32} + H_{w31}A_{13} + H_{w21}A_{12} + H_{w32}A_{23} + H_{w13}A_{31}$$

$$= H_{w}^{T}A \qquad (5.4.1.15)$$

which defines how the components of A are stored in  $\underline{A}$ :

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{22} & A_{33} & A_{21} & A_{32} & A_{13} & A_{12} & A_{23} & A_{31} \end{bmatrix}^T$$
(5.4.1.16)

Note that the storage of components of A in A differs from the storage of components of  $H_w$  in  $H_w$ . Now we consider the first term in  $(5.4.1.1\tilde{2})$ , which is transformed as:

$$\int_{\Omega_t} (\operatorname{grad} \vec{w})^T : ({}^{4}\boldsymbol{M} : (\operatorname{grad} \delta \vec{x})^T) \ d\Omega_t = \int_{\Omega_t} \vec{H}_w^T \vec{D}_T \vec{H}_{\delta x} \ d\Omega_t$$
 (5.4.1.17)

Comparison with equation (5.4.1.15) shows that the term  ${}^4M$ :  $(\operatorname{grad} \delta \vec{x})^T$ , which in fact represents the error in  $\tau$  must regarded as an example of a tensor A. Thus the components of  $\delta \tau$  must be stored in a column  $\delta_{\tilde{\chi}}$  according to sequence in equation (5.4.1.16). In combination with equation (5.4.1.14) this defines how the components of  ${}^4M$  are stored in  $D_T$ . In section 5.4.1 some examples of  $D_T$  will be elaborated.

The second term in (5.4.1.12) is transformed as:

$$-\int_{\Omega_t} (\operatorname{grad} \vec{w})^T : (\operatorname{grad} \delta \vec{x})^T \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \ d\Omega_t = \int_{\Omega_t} \tilde{H}_w^T D_F \tilde{H}_{\delta x} \ d\Omega_t$$
 (5.4.1.18)

The term  $(\operatorname{grad} \delta \vec{x})^T \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$  is transformed into  $D_F \mathcal{H}_{\delta x}$  as follows:

$$-(\operatorname{grad} \delta \vec{x})^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} =$$

$$-\left[ \begin{matrix} H_{\delta x11} & H_{\delta x12} & H_{\delta x13} \\ H_{\delta x21} & H_{\delta x22} & H_{\delta x23} \\ H_{\delta x31} & H_{\delta x32} & H_{\delta x33} \end{matrix} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{23} \\ \sigma_{31} & \sigma_{32} & \sigma_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{31} \\ 0 & \sigma_{22} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{23} & 0 & \sigma_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{33} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{31} & 0 & \sigma_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{12} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{31} & 0 & \sigma_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{12} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{12} & 0 & \sigma_{22} & 0 \\ \sigma_{31} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{33} \\ \sigma_{12} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{23} \\ 0 & \sigma_{23} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{33} & 0 & \sigma_{32} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{31} & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{11} & 0 & \sigma_{12} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{\delta x11} \\ H_{\delta x22} \\ H_{\delta x33} \\ H_{\delta x12} \\ H_{\delta x23} \\ H_{\delta x31} \\ H_{\delta x21} \\ H_{\delta x32} \\ H_{\delta x31} \\ H_{\delta x21} \\ H_{\delta x32} \\ H_{\delta x31} \\ H_{\delta x21} \\ H_{\delta x32} \\ H_{\delta x31} \\ H_{\delta x32} \\ H_{\delta x33} \\ H_{\delta x31} \\ H_{\delta x32} \\ H_{\delta x33} \\ H_{\delta x31} \\ H_{\delta x32} \\ H_{\delta x33} \\ H_{\delta x31} \\ H_{\delta x32} \\ H_{\delta x33} \\$$

which defines the matrix  $D_F$ .

The third term in (5.4.1.12) is transformed as:

$$\int_{\hat{\Omega}} (\operatorname{grad} \vec{w})^T : \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\operatorname{grad} \cdot \delta \vec{x}) = \int_{\Omega_t} \underline{H}_w^T \underline{D}_J \underline{H}_{\delta x} \, d\Omega_t$$
 (5.4.1.20)

Here the term  $\sigma(\text{grad} \cdot \delta \vec{x})$  is elaborated as:

$$\sigma(\operatorname{grad} \cdot \delta \vec{x}) = \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{H}_{\delta x}) \boldsymbol{\sigma}$$

$$= (H_{\delta x,11} + H_{\delta x,22} + H_{\delta x,33}) \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{23} \\ \sigma_{31} & \sigma_{32} & \sigma_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_{33} & \sigma_{33} & \sigma_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{21} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_{32} & \sigma_{32} & \sigma_{32} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_{13} & \sigma_{13} & \sigma_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_{23} & \sigma_{23} & \sigma_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_{31} & \sigma_{31} & \sigma_{31} & \sigma_{31} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{\delta x11} \\ H_{\delta x22} \\ H_{\delta x33} \\ H_{\delta x21} \\ H_{\delta x31} \\ H_{\delta x32} \\ H_{\delta x31} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \mathcal{D}_J H_{\delta \delta x}$$
 (5.4.1.21)

which defines the matrix  $D_I$ .

Summarizing, equation (5.4.1.12) can now be written as:

$$\begin{cases}
\int_{\Omega_{t}} \mathcal{H}_{w}^{T} \left[ \mathcal{D}_{T} + \mathcal{D}_{F} + \mathcal{D}_{J} \right] \mathcal{H}_{\delta x} d\Omega_{t} - \int_{\Omega_{t}} \delta p \operatorname{grad} \cdot \vec{w} d\Omega_{t} = \\
- \int_{\Omega_{t}} \mathcal{H}_{w}^{T} \sigma d\Omega_{t} + \int_{\Gamma_{n}} t \vec{w} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \vec{n}) \\
\int_{\Omega_{t}} q J(\operatorname{grad} \cdot \delta \vec{x}) d\Omega_{t} = - \int_{\Omega_{t}} q (J - 1) d\Omega_{t}
\end{cases} (5.4.1.22)$$

where once more it is noted that the integrals are taken over the estimated configuration.

### Finite element approximation

To obtain a discrete set of finite element equations, the domain  $\Omega$  is subdivided into non overlapping sub domains  $\Omega^e$ , called elements. The position field is described by three components  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ .

Within each element the components of position field  $x_j^e$  and the pressure field  $p^e$  are approximated as interpolations between values at a limited number of nodal points:

$$x_j^e(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_x} \phi^i(\vec{x}) x_j^i(t) = \phi^T x_j^e \quad \forall \vec{x} \in \Omega^e$$
 (5.4.1.23)

$$p^{e}(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{p}} \psi^{i}(\vec{x})p^{i}(t) = \psi^{T} p^{e} \quad \forall \vec{x} \in \Omega^{e}$$
 (5.4.1.24)

where  $n_x$  and  $n_p$  are the number of nodes for the position and pressure field, respectively,  $\phi = [\phi^1, \dots, \phi^{n_x}]^T$  and  $\psi = [\psi^1, \dots, \psi^{n_p}]^T$  columns of shape functions for the position and pressure field, respectively, and  $\vec{x}^e = [\vec{x}^1, \dots, \vec{x}^{n_x}]^T$  and  $p^e = [p^1, \dots, p^{n_p}]^T$  columns of nodal positions and pressures, respectively.

The weighting functions  $\vec{w}$ , with components  $(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ , and q are defined on the element level as well, and discretized according to Galerkins method as:

$$w_{j}^{e}(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{x}} \phi^{i}(\vec{x}) w_{j}^{i}(t) = \phi^{T} w_{j}^{e} \qquad \forall \vec{x} \in \Omega^{e}$$
 (5.4.1.25)

$$q^{e}(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{p}} \psi^{i}(\vec{x})q^{i}(t) = \psi^{T}q^{e} \quad \forall \vec{x} \in \Omega^{e}$$
 (5.4.1.26)

We now reconsider the column  $H_w$ , defined in equation (5.4.1.13), which is written as:

$$\begin{split} & \underline{H}_{w} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \partial w_{1}}{\partial \partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial \partial w_{2}}{\partial \partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial \partial w_{3}}{\partial \partial x_{3}} & \frac{\partial \partial w_{2}}{\partial \partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial \partial w_{1}}{\partial \partial x_{3}} & \frac{\partial \partial w_{2}}{\partial \partial x_{3}} & \frac{\partial \partial w_{3}}{\partial \partial x_{1}} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \\ & = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \partial \phi^{T}}{\partial \partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial \partial \phi^{T}}{\partial \partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial \partial \phi^{T}}{\partial \partial x_{3}} & \frac{\partial \partial \phi^{T}}{\partial \partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial \partial \phi^{T}}{\partial \partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial \partial \phi^{T}}{\partial \partial x_{3}} & \frac{\partial \partial \phi^{T}}{\partial \partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial \partial \phi^{T}}{\partial \partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial \partial \phi^{T}}{\partial \partial x_{3}} & \frac{\partial \partial \phi^{T}}{\partial \partial x_{3}} & \frac{\partial \partial \phi^{T}}{\partial \partial x_{3}} & \frac{\partial \partial \phi^{T}}{\partial \partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial \partial \phi^{T}}{\partial \partial x_{3}} & \frac{\partial \partial \phi^{T}}{\partial x_{3}} & \frac{\partial \partial \phi^{$$

which defines the matrix  $\underline{B}_{\phi}$  and the column  $\underline{w}^{e}$ . Similarly, we can write:

$$\underline{H}_{\delta x} = \underline{B}_{\phi} \delta \underline{x}^e \tag{5.4.1.28}$$

where

$$\delta \underline{x}^e = \begin{bmatrix} \delta x_1^1 & \delta x_2^1 & \delta x_3^1 & \delta x_1^2 & \delta x_2^2 & \delta x_3^2 & \dots & \delta x_1^{n_x} & \delta x_2^{n_x} & \delta x_3^{n_x} \end{bmatrix}^T$$
 (5.4.1.29)

The dot product grad  $\cdot \vec{w}$  is written as:

$$\operatorname{grad} \cdot \vec{w} = \frac{\partial \partial w_1}{\partial \partial x_1} + \frac{\partial \partial w_2}{\partial \partial x_2} + \frac{\partial \partial w_3}{\partial \partial x_3}$$

$$= \frac{\partial \partial \phi^e w_1^e}{\partial \partial x_1} + \frac{\partial \partial \phi^e w_2^e}{\partial \partial x_2} + \frac{\partial \partial \phi^e w_3^e}{\partial \partial x_3}$$

$$= \left[ \frac{\partial \partial \phi^1}{\partial x_1} \quad \frac{\partial \partial \phi^1}{\partial \partial x_2} \quad \frac{\partial \partial \phi^1}{\partial \partial x_3} \quad \frac{\partial \partial \phi^2}{\partial \partial x_1} \quad \frac{\partial \partial \phi^2}{\partial \partial x_2} \quad \frac{\partial \partial \phi^2}{\partial \partial x_3} \quad \dots \quad \frac{\partial \partial \phi^{n_x}}{\partial \partial x_1} \quad \frac{\partial \partial \phi^{n_x}}{\partial \partial x_2} \quad \frac{\partial \partial \phi^{n_x}}{\partial \partial x_3} \right] \underline{w}_e$$

$$= b_{\phi}^T w^e \qquad (5.4.1.30)$$

which defines the column  $b_{\phi}$ . With this definition, we can also write:

$$\operatorname{grad} \cdot \delta \vec{x} = b_{\phi}^{T} \delta x^{e} \tag{5.4.1.31}$$

Finally, we elaborate the integrand of the surface integral as:

$$\vec{w} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \vec{n}) = \vec{w} \cdot \vec{t}$$

$$= w_1 t_1 + w_2 t_2 + w_3 t_3 \qquad (5.4.1.32)$$

$$= (\underline{\Phi} \underline{w}^e)^T \underline{\Phi} \underline{t}^e \qquad (5.4.1.33)$$

where the components of the surface traction vector  $\vec{t}$  are stored in the column  $\underline{t}^e$  according to equation (5.4.1.27), and  $\underline{\Phi}$  contains the basis functions  $\phi^i$ .

Substitution of the above expressions in equation (5.4.1.22) yields:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{1}^{n_{el}} \left( \int\limits_{\Omega_{t}^{e}} (B_{\phi} \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{e})^{T} \left[ \bar{\boldsymbol{D}}_{T} + \bar{\boldsymbol{D}}_{F} + \bar{\boldsymbol{D}}_{J} \right] \bar{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\phi} \delta \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}^{e} \ d\Omega_{t}^{e} - \int\limits_{\Omega_{t}^{e}} \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{T} \delta \bar{\boldsymbol{p}}^{e} \bar{\boldsymbol{b}}_{\phi}^{T} \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{e} \ d\Omega_{t}^{e} \right) = \\ \sum_{1}^{n_{el}} \left( -\int\limits_{\Omega_{t}^{e}} (\bar{\boldsymbol{B}}_{\phi} \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{e})^{T} \bar{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \ d\Omega_{t}^{e} + \int\limits_{\Gamma_{n}} te(\bar{\boldsymbol{\Phi}} \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{e})^{T} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Phi}} t^{e} \right) \\ \sum_{1}^{n_{el}} \left( \int\limits_{\Omega_{t}^{e}} \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{T} \bar{\boldsymbol{q}}^{e} \bar{\boldsymbol{b}}_{\phi} \delta \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}^{e} \ d\Omega_{t}^{e} \right) = \sum_{1}^{n_{el}} \left( -\int\limits_{\Omega_{t}^{e}} \bar{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{T} \bar{\boldsymbol{q}}^{e} \frac{\partial J - 1}{\partial J} \ d\Omega_{t}^{e} \right) \end{array} \right.$$
 (5.4.1.34)

where it must be noted that, to eventually get a symmetric stiffness matrix, in the second equation all terms were divided by J. Now, since the columns  $\underline{w}^e$ ,  $\underline{q}^e$ ,  $\delta \underline{x}^e$  and  $\delta \underline{p}^e$  are constants, they can be taken outside the integrals, and we can write:

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{1}^{n_{el}} \left( (\underline{w}^{e})^{T} \underline{K}^{e} \delta \underline{x}^{e} - (\underline{w}^{e})^{T} (\underline{P}^{e})^{T} \delta \underline{p}^{e} \right) = \sum_{1}^{n_{el}} \left( (\underline{w}^{e})^{T} \underline{r}_{x}^{e} \right) \\ \sum_{1}^{n_{el}} \left( (q^{e})^{T} \underline{P}^{e} \delta \underline{x}^{e} \right) = \sum_{1}^{n_{el}} \left( -(q^{e})^{T} \underline{r}_{p}^{e} \right) \end{cases}$$
(5.4.1.35)

where we introduced:

$$\underline{K}^{e} = \int_{\Omega_{t}^{e}} (\underline{B}_{\phi})^{T} [\underline{D}_{T} + \underline{D}_{F} + \underline{D}_{J}] \underline{B}_{\phi} d\Omega_{t}^{e}$$
 (5.4.1.36)

$$\underline{P}^e = \int_{\Omega_t^e} \underline{b}_{\phi}^T \underline{\psi} \ d\Omega_t^e \tag{5.4.1.37}$$

$$\underline{r}_{x}^{e} = \int_{\Omega_{t}^{e}} (\underline{B}_{\phi})^{T} \underline{\sigma} \ d\Omega_{t}^{e} + \int_{\Gamma_{n}} te(\underline{\Phi})^{T} \underline{\Phi} \underline{t}_{\underline{e}}^{e}$$
(5.4.1.38)

$$\chi_p^e = \int_{\Omega_t^e} \psi \frac{\partial J - 1}{\partial J} d\Omega_t^e$$
(5.4.1.39)

Finally, is we assemble all element columns and matrices into columns and matrices at the global level, we obtain

Since this equation must hold for all admissible weighting functions, it equivalent to the following set of linear equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{K} & \underline{P}^T \\ \underline{P} & \underline{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta \underline{x} \\ \delta \underline{p} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{r}_x \\ \underline{r}_p \end{bmatrix}$$
 (5.4.1.41)

which is the final finite element formulation of the governing equations.

### Implementation of material behavior

In this section the derivation of the material stiffness matrix  $D_T$  is addressed for several material

### Incompressible Neo Hookean material

For an incompressible Neo Hookean material the following expression yields:

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = G(\boldsymbol{B} - \boldsymbol{I}) \tag{5.4.1.42}$$

For the variation of  $\tau$  it holds:

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\tau} = G \delta \boldsymbol{B} 
= G \delta (\boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{F}^T) 
= G (\delta \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{F}^T + \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \delta (\boldsymbol{F}^T)) 
= G((\operatorname{grad}_0 \delta \vec{x})^T \cdot \boldsymbol{F}^T + \boldsymbol{F} \cdot (\operatorname{grad}_0 \delta \vec{x})) 
= G((\boldsymbol{F}^T \cdot \operatorname{grad} \delta \vec{x})^T \cdot \boldsymbol{F}^T + \boldsymbol{F} \cdot (\boldsymbol{F}^T \cdot \operatorname{grad} \delta \vec{x})) 
= G((\operatorname{grad} \delta \vec{x})^T \cdot \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{F}^T + \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{F}^T \cdot (\operatorname{grad} \delta \vec{x})) 
= G(\boldsymbol{H}_{\delta x} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} + \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_{\delta T}^T)$$
(5.4.1.43)

The components of this tensor are stored in a column  $\delta_{\mathcal{T}}$  according to the sequence of equation (5.4.1.16):

$$\delta \tau = [\delta \tau_{11} \ \delta \tau_{22} \ \delta \tau_{33} \ \delta \tau_{21} \ \delta \tau_{32} \ \delta \tau_{13} \ \delta \tau_{12} \ \delta \tau_{23} \ \delta \tau_{31}] \tag{5.4.1.44}$$

where a specific component  $\delta \tau_{ij}$  is computed as:

$$\delta \tau_{ij} = G(H_{\delta x, ik} B_{ki} + B_{ik} H_{\delta x, jk}) \tag{5.4.1.45}$$

Then, for the matrix  $D_T$  it holds:

$$\delta_{\tilde{z}}^{T} = G \begin{bmatrix} 2B_{11} & 0 & 0 & 2B_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2B_{31} \\ 0 & 2B_{22} & 0 & 0 & 2B_{23} & 0 & 2B_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2B_{33} & 0 & 0 & 2B_{31} & 0 & 2B_{23} & 0 \\ B_{12} & B_{12} & 0 & B_{22} & B_{31} & 0 & B_{11} & 0 & B_{23} \\ 0 & B_{23} & B_{23} & 0 & B_{33} & B_{12} & B_{31} & B_{22} & 0 \\ B_{31} & 0 & B_{31} & B_{23} & 0 & B_{11} & 0 & B_{12} & B_{33} \\ B_{12} & B_{12} & 0 & B_{22} & B_{31} & 0 & B_{11} & 0 & B_{23} \\ 0 & B_{23} & B_{23} & 0 & B_{33} & B_{12} & B_{31} & B_{22} & 0 \\ B_{31} & 0 & B_{31} & B_{23} & 0 & B_{11} & 0 & B_{23} \\ 0 & B_{23} & B_{23} & 0 & B_{33} & B_{12} & B_{31} & B_{22} & 0 \\ B_{31} & 0 & B_{31} & B_{23} & 0 & B_{11} & 0 & B_{12} & B_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{\delta x 11} \\ H_{\delta x 22} \\ H_{\delta x 33} \\ H_{\delta x 31} \\ H_{\delta x 31} \\ H_{\delta x 21} \\ H_{\delta x 32} \\ H_{\delta x 13} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= D_{T} \mathcal{H}_{\delta x}$$

$$(5.4.1.46)$$

where symmetry of B was used. In Sepran, the matrix  $D_T$  is computed in routine elm200.f > elm8100.f > elm8200.f > el8302.f > el2730.f.

### Incompressible isotropic hyper-elastic material

A more general example. In a compressible isotropic hyper-elastic material, the stress can be derived from a strain energy function  $W_c$ :

$$W_c = W_c(I_1, I_2, I_3) (5.4.1.47)$$

with invariants  $I_i$  defined as:

$$I_1 = \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{C}) \tag{5.4.1.48}$$

$$I_2 = \partial \frac{1}{2} [\operatorname{tr}^2(\mathbf{C}) - \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C}^2)]$$
 (5.4.1.49)  
 $I_3 = \det(\mathbf{C}) = J^2$  (5.4.1.50)

$$I_3 = \det(\mathbf{C}) = J^2$$
 (5.4.1.50)

where  $J = \det(F)$  and the Cauchy-Green tensor C is defined as:

$$\boldsymbol{C} = \boldsymbol{F}^T \cdot \boldsymbol{F} \tag{5.4.1.51}$$

The Cauchy stress  $\sigma$  is related to  $W_c$  according to:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \frac{\partial 2}{\partial J} \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \frac{\partial dW_c}{\partial d\boldsymbol{C}} \cdot \boldsymbol{F}^T$$
 (5.4.1.52)

If the material is incompressible,  $I_3 = 1$ , and the strain energy function W is a function of  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  only:

$$W = W(I_1, I_2) (5.4.1.53)$$

Then the Cauchy stress is split according to equation (5.4.1.2) and the extra stress  $\tau$  is obtained from equation (5.4.1.52), yielding:

$$\tau = 2 \left[ \frac{\partial \partial W}{\partial \partial I_1} \mathbf{B} - \frac{\partial \partial W}{\partial \partial I_2} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \right] = g_1(I_1, I_2) \mathbf{B} - g_2(I_1, I_2) \mathbf{B}^{-1}$$
 (5.4.1.54)

which defines the functions  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ . For the variation  $\delta \tau$  it holds (van Ooijen, 2003):

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\tau} = \left( g_{11} \operatorname{tr} \left( \delta \boldsymbol{B} \right) + \partial \frac{1}{2} g_{12} (\operatorname{tr} \left( \boldsymbol{B} \right) \operatorname{tr} \left( \delta \boldsymbol{B} \right) - \operatorname{tr} \left( \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{B} \right) \right) \boldsymbol{B} + g_1 \delta \boldsymbol{B} + \left( g_{21} \operatorname{tr} \left( \delta \boldsymbol{B} \right) + \partial \frac{1}{2} g_{22} [(\operatorname{tr} \left( \boldsymbol{B} \right) \operatorname{tr} \left( \delta \boldsymbol{B} \right) - \operatorname{tr} \left( \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{B} \right)) \right) \boldsymbol{B}^{-1} + g_2 \delta \boldsymbol{B}^{-1} \quad (5.4.1.55)$$

with

$$g_{ij} = 2\frac{\partial \partial^2 W}{\partial \partial I_i \partial I_j} \tag{5.4.1.56}$$

and

$$\delta \boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{H}_{\delta x} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} + \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_{\delta x}^{T}$$

$$\delta \boldsymbol{B}^{-1} = -\boldsymbol{H}_{\delta x}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{B}^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_{\delta x}$$

$$(5.4.1.57)$$

$$(5.4.1.58)$$

$$\delta \boldsymbol{B}^{-1} = -\boldsymbol{H}_{\delta x}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{B}^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_{\delta x} \tag{5.4.1.58}$$

With these expressions we derive:

$$\operatorname{tr}(\delta \mathbf{B}) = (\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}^T) : \mathbf{H}_{\delta x} \tag{5.4.1.59}$$

$$\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{B}) = (\boldsymbol{B}^2 + (\boldsymbol{B}^2)^T) : \boldsymbol{H}_{\delta x}$$
 (5.4.1.60)

Substitution in equation (5.4.1.55) yields:

$$\delta \tau = g_1(\boldsymbol{H}_{\delta x} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} + \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_{\delta x}^T) - g_2(\boldsymbol{H}_{\delta x}^T \cdot \boldsymbol{B}^{-1} + \boldsymbol{B}^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_{\delta x}) + \boldsymbol{V}_1(\boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{B}^{-1})\boldsymbol{U}_1 : \boldsymbol{H}_{\delta x} + \boldsymbol{V}_2(\boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{B}^{-1})\boldsymbol{U}_2 : \boldsymbol{H}_{\delta x}$$
 (5.4.1.61)

with:

$$V_1 = (g_{11} + \partial \frac{1}{2}g_{12} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{B}))\mathbf{B} + (g_{21} + \partial \frac{1}{2}g_{22} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{B}))\mathbf{B}^{-1}$$
 (5.4.1.62)

$$\boldsymbol{U}_1 = \boldsymbol{B} + \boldsymbol{B}^T \tag{5.4.1.63}$$

$$V_{2} = \partial \frac{1}{2}g_{12}\mathbf{B} + \partial \frac{1}{2}g_{22}\mathbf{B}^{-1}$$

$$U_{2} = \mathbf{B}^{2} + (\mathbf{B}^{2})^{T}$$
(5.4.1.65)

$$U_2 = B^2 + (B^2)^T (5.4.1.65)$$

The general form of equation (5.4.1.61) is:

$$\delta \tau = \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\delta x} + \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\delta x}^{T} + \mathbf{H}_{\delta x} \cdot \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{H}_{\delta x}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{U} : \mathbf{H}_{\delta x})$$
(5.4.1.66)

which is transformed into:

$$\delta_{\underline{\tau}} = (Q + R + S + T + \underline{V}\underline{U}^T)\underline{H}_{\delta x} 
= D_T\underline{H}_{\delta x}$$
(5.4.1.67)

September 2004

where the components of  $\delta \tau$  are stored in  $\delta \tau$  according to equation (5.4.1.44), and  $\underline{\mathcal{H}}_{\delta x}$  was defined in equation (5.4.1.14). The matrices Q through  $\underline{U}$  can be derived as:

$$\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\delta x} = \begin{bmatrix}
Q_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_{13} & Q_{12} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & Q_{22} & 0 & Q_{21} & 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_{23} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & Q_{33} & 0 & Q_{32} & 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_{31} \\
Q_{21} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_{23} & Q_{22} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & Q_{32} & 0 & Q_{31} & 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_{33} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & Q_{13} & 0 & Q_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_{11} \\
0 & Q_{12} & 0 & Q_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_{13} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & Q_{23} & 0 & Q_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_{21} \\
0 & 0 & Q_{23} & 0 & Q_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_{21} \\
Q_{31} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_{33} & Q_{32} & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
H_{\delta x 11} \\ H_{\delta x 22} \\ H_{\delta x 33} \\ H_{\delta x 12} \\ H_{\delta x 31} \\ H_{\delta x 21} \\ H_{\delta x 32} \\ H_{\delta x 13} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= Q \underline{H}_{\delta x} \tag{5.4.1.68}$$

$$\boldsymbol{R} \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_{\delta x}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & 0 & 0 & R_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & R_{13} \\ 0 & R_{22} & 0 & 0 & R_{23} & 0 & R_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & R_{33} & 0 & 0 & R_{31} & 0 & R_{32} & 0 \\ R_{21} & 0 & 0 & R_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & R_{33} \\ 0 & R_{32} & 0 & 0 & R_{33} & 0 & R_{31} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & R_{13} & 0 & 0 & R_{11} & 0 & R_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & R_{12} & 0 & 0 & R_{13} & 0 & R_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & R_{23} & 0 & 0 & R_{21} & 0 & R_{22} & 0 \\ R_{31} & 0 & 0 & R_{32} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & R_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{\delta x 11} \\ H_{\delta x 22} \\ H_{\delta x 33} \\ H_{\delta x 12} \\ H_{\delta x 23} \\ H_{\delta x 31} \\ H_{\delta x 21} \\ H_{\delta x 21} \\ H_{\delta x 32} \\ H_{\delta x 13} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= RH_{\delta x}$$
 (5.4.1.69)

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{\delta x} \cdot \boldsymbol{S} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & 0 & 0 & S_{21} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & S_{31} \\ 0 & S_{22} & 0 & 0 & S_{32} & 0 & S_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & S_{33} & 0 & 0 & S_{31} & 0 & S_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & S_{21} & 0 & 0 & S_{13} & 0 & S_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & S_{32} & 0 & 0 & S_{12} & 0 & S_{22} & 0 \\ S_{13} & 0 & 0 & S_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & S_{33} \\ S_{12} & 0 & 0 & S_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & S_{32} \\ 0 & S_{23} & 0 & 0 & S_{33} & 0 & S_{13} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & S_{31} & 0 & 0 & S_{11} & 0 & S_{21} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{\delta x 11} \\ H_{\delta x 22} \\ H_{\delta x 33} \\ H_{\delta x 21} \\ H_{\delta x 21} \\ H_{\delta x 32} \\ H_{\delta x 31} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \mathcal{S} \mathcal{H}_{\delta x}$$

$$(5.4.1.70)$$

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{\delta x}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{T} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & T_{31} & T_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T_{22} & 0 & T_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & T_{32} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T_{33} & 0 & T_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & T_{13} \\ 0 & T_{21} & 0 & T_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & T_{31} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T_{32} & 0 & T_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & T_{12} \\ T_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & T_{33} & T_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ T_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & T_{32} & T_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T_{23} & 0 & T_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 & T_{33} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T_{31} & 0 & T_{21} & 0 & 0 & 0 & T_{11} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{\delta x 11} \\ H_{\delta x 22} \\ H_{\delta x 33} \\ H_{\delta x 12} \\ H_{\delta x 23} \\ H_{\delta x 31} \\ H_{\delta x 21} \\ H_{\delta x 32} \\ H_{\delta x 13} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= T \tilde{H}_{\delta x}$$

$$(5.4.1.71)$$

$$V(U: H_{\delta x}) = \begin{bmatrix} V_{11} \\ V_{22} \\ V_{33} \\ V_{21} \\ V_{32} \\ V_{13} \\ V_{12} \\ V_{13} \\ V_{12} \\ V_{23} \\ V_{31} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_{11} \\ U_{22} \\ U_{33} \\ U_{21} \\ U_{32} \\ U_{13} \\ U_{12} \\ U_{23} \\ U_{31} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{\delta x 11} \\ H_{\delta x 22} \\ H_{\delta x 23} \\ H_{\delta x 23} \\ H_{\delta x 31} \\ H_{\delta x 21} \\ H_{\delta x 32} \\ H_{\delta x 32} \\ H_{\delta x 13} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= V_{\mathcal{U}}^{T} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\delta x} \qquad (5.4.1.72)$$

Remark: This section is written by Tijmen Gunther and is compiled from work by Jurgen de Hart, Raoul van Loon, Chris van Ooijen, Marco Stijnen, Tijmen Gunther, Peter Bovendeerd and Frans van de Vosse of Eindhoven University.

TH Plate elements September 2004 **5.5**.1

# 5.5 (Thick) plate elements

5.5.2 Plate elements September 2004 TH

TH Time integration January 2008 **5.6**.1

## 5.6 Time integration of solids

Various options are available to integrate the time-dependent elasticity equations. In this chapter the following methods are treated:

- 5.6.1 The Newmark scheme
- 5.6.2 The Generalized  $\alpha$  scheme
- 5.6.3 The generalized  $\alpha$  scheme for updated Lagrange formulation with Newton linearization

5.6.2 Time integration January 2008 TH

TH Newmark scheme January 2008 5.6.1

#### 5.6.1 The Newmark scheme

A well-known time integration method for solids is the Newmark time integration series. This scheme can be conveniently written as an one step method, where the solution at the present time is computed from the solution of the previous time step by writing the momentum equation in a three-variable system. An one step method is preferable from computational viewpoint since the solution of only one previous time level has to be stored. Instead of using the displacement d and time derivatives of d, the time derivatives are introduced as separate variables:

$$a = \ddot{d} \tag{5.6.1.1a}$$

$$v = \dot{d} \tag{5.6.1.1b}$$

$$d = d \tag{5.6.1.1c}$$

where a is the acceleration and v the velocity of the solid. The momentum equation in matrix-vector form can be written with these separate variables at t = n + 1 as:

$$Ma^{n+1} + Kd^{n+1} = 0. (5.6.1.2)$$

The Newmark time integration series employs two averaging formulations to update the displacement d and velocity v from the acceleration a. With two weighting parameters  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  these expressions are defined as:

$$d^{n+1} = d^n + \Delta t v^n + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} ((1 - 2\beta)a^n + 2\beta a^{n+1}), \tag{5.6.1.3}$$

$$v^{n+1} = v^n + \Delta t((1-\gamma)a^n + \gamma a^{n+1}). \tag{5.6.1.4}$$

For  $\beta=0.5$  and  $\gamma=0.25$  the Newmark series corresponds to the trapezoid rule, and is  $O(\Delta t^2)$  accurate.

The solution procedure of the Newmark method is as follows: first the displacement on the new time level  $d^{n+1}$  is expressed as:

$$d^{n+1} = \beta \Delta t^2 a^{n+1} + h^n \tag{5.6.1.5}$$

by re-evaluating 5.6.1.3. The term  $h^n$  contains all terms on time n:

$$h^{n} = d^{n} + \Delta t v^{n} + \Delta t^{2} (\frac{1}{2} - \beta) a^{n}$$
(5.6.1.6)

If the weighting parameter  $\beta$  is nonzero, the acceleration can be expressed as:

$$a^{n+1} = \frac{1}{\beta \Delta t^2} (d^{n+1} - h^n)$$
 (5.6.1.7)

When this expression of  $a^{n+1}$  is substituted in 5.6.1.2 the system to be solved to calculate the displacement on the new time-level is:

$$(\frac{1}{\beta \Delta t^2}M + K)d^{n+1} = \frac{Mh^n}{\beta \Delta t^2}$$
 (5.6.1.8)

When the displacement on the new time level is known, the new velocity and acceleration is computed directly by (5.6.1.4) and (5.6.1.7). Then h can be determined and the system (5.6.1.8) can be solved again. For initial conditions the initial displacement  $u^0$  and velocity  $v^0$  have to be given. The initial acceleration is then determined from  $Ma^0 = -Ku^0$ .

5.6.2 Newmark scheme January 2008 TH

#### 5.6.2 The Generalized $\alpha$ scheme

For many dynamic structural applications it is required that the time-integration method possesses algorithmic damping properties. Spatial finite element meshes usually have poor representation of high frequency modes because of their limited resolution, so damping of these modes is advantageous. Furthermore, for fluid-structure interaction problems a controllable response to high frequency perturbations can be very beneficial for increasing the stability of the solution method. For certain choices of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  the Newmark time integration method has high frequency dissipation, but is only  $O(\Delta t)$  accurate and shows too much dissipation of low frequency modes. For this reason a new time integration method has been developed, based on the Newmark series. This method is the generalized- $\alpha$  method and combines both  $O(\Delta t^2)$  accuracy with controllable dissipative properties for high frequencies modes. For a given amount of high frequency dissipation, the low frequency dissipation is minimized. Because of these features, the generalized -  $\alpha$  method is used in our approach for the solid-spatial discretization.

The momentum equation for the generalized- $\alpha$  method is derived from the Newmark method formulation, and two extra variables  $\alpha_m$  and  $\alpha_f$  for weighting are added:

$$Ma^{n+1-\alpha_m} + Kd^{n+1-\alpha_f} = 0. (5.6.2.1)$$

In this equation the acceleration  $a^{n+1-\alpha_m}$  and displacement  $d^{n+1-\alpha_f}$  are defined as:

$$d^{n+1-\alpha_f} = (1 - \alpha_f)d^{n+1} + \alpha_f d^n$$
 (5.6.2.2a)

$$a^{n+1-\alpha_m} = (1 - \alpha_m)a^{n+1} + \alpha_m a^n.$$
 (5.6.2.2b)

When this is substituted in the momentum equation (5.6.2.1), the system to be solved looks like:

$$M(1 - \alpha_m)a^{n+1} + K(1 - \alpha_f)d^{n+1} = -\alpha_m M a^n - \alpha_f K u^n.$$
 (5.6.2.3)

The evaluation of 5.6.2.3 is done in the same manner as for the Newmark scheme. First the displacement on the new time level is expressed as (5.6.1.5) and (5.6.1.6). Then the acceleration is formulated as (5.6.1.7). When this is substituted in (5.6.2.3) the system to be solved is equal to:

$$(\frac{(1-\alpha_m)}{\beta \Delta t^2} M + (1-\alpha_f)K)d^{n+1} = -\alpha_m M a^n - \alpha_f K d^n + \frac{(1-\alpha_m)Mh^n}{\beta \Delta t^2}$$
 (5.6.2.4)

The generalized  $-\alpha$  scheme is second order accurate in time with respect to the displacement d if:

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2} - \alpha_m + \alpha_f. \tag{5.6.2.5}$$

The velocity v is then first order accurate. The amount of dissipation of the time integration algorithm is related to the maximum eigenvalues of the so-called amplification matrix A in  $X^{n+1} = AX^n$  where X is the solution  $X^n = [d^n, \Delta t v^n, \Delta t^2 a^n]^T$ . These maximum eigenvalues define the spectral radius  $\rho$  of the time-integration method. A smaller spectral radius corresponds to a greater numerical dissipation. Ideally the spectral radius is close to one for low frequencies and decreases with increasing frequency. The high frequency dissipation results in a requirement for  $\beta$ :

$$\beta = \frac{1}{4}(1 - \alpha_m + \alpha_f)^2. \tag{5.6.2.6}$$

The parameters  $\alpha_f$  and  $\alpha_m$  can be expressed in the spectral radius for the high frequency limit  $\rho_{\infty}$ :

$$\alpha_m = \frac{2\rho_\infty - 1}{\rho_\infty + 1},\tag{5.6.2.7}$$

$$\alpha_f = \frac{\rho_\infty}{\rho_\infty + 1}.\tag{5.6.2.8}$$

Together with 5.6.2.5 and 5.6.2.6 this defines the generalized  $\alpha$  method for a specified  $\rho_{\infty}$ .

#### The generalized - $\alpha$ scheme for updated Lagrange formulation with 5.6.3Newton linearization

One of the possible frames of reference to define non-linear solids in space is the updated Lagrange formulation. This formulation is also used in Sepran. In this formulation the variable that define the displacement is expressed as an increment with respect to the configuration of the previous timestep. This has consequences for the way the time integration method has to be applied, because they are traditionally formulated in terms of total displacements (see previous paragraph).

Apart from time integration, also linearization has to be applied in case of non-linear hyper-elastic materials. For linearization the incremental Newton method is applied in an iterative manner till convergence is reached. In this method the solid momentum equation is solved for an increment  $\delta d$  and then this increment is added to the total displacement and the stress matrix is build again based on the new displacement. This is repeated until the stresses balance the right-hand side, and the increment  $\delta d$  is smaller then a prescribed accuracy. The system to be solved in the Newton linearization method is equal to:

$$K'^k \delta d^{k+1} = \bar{F}^k$$
 (5.6.3.1)  
 $d^{k+1} = d^k + \delta d^{k+1}$  (5.6.3.2)

$$d^{k+1} = d^k + \delta d^{k+1} (5.6.3.2)$$

In these equations k is the iteration number and K' the incremental constitutive behavior for small displacements  $\delta d$ . The right-hand side  $\bar{F}$  not only contains the body forces (in our case these are not present), but also the build up stresses in the material at the material state of iteration k. Equation (5.6.3.1) can be expressed at time n as:

$$K'(d^{k+1} - d^k) = \bar{F}^{n,k} \tag{5.6.3.3}$$

The right-hand side  $\bar{F}^{n,k}$  is equal to:

$$\bar{F}^{n,k} = F^n - K(d^n, \bar{d}) \tag{5.6.3.4}$$

where  $F^n$  is the body force term.

The fact that the Newton linearization is applied in combination with the updated Lagrange formulation results in two different incremental displacements that are used: the displacement  $\delta d$  of the Newton linearization, and the incremental displacement with respect to the previous time-step. The variable  $\bar{u}$  is sum of incremental displacements till iteration k,  $\bar{d} = \sum_{0}^{k-1} \delta d^k$ . At iteration k, the sum of incremental displacements  $\delta d$  form the incremental displacement from time-step n to n+1 of the updated Lagrange formulation, that has to be added to the total displacement of the last time-step  $d^n$  to form the total displacement  $d^{n+1}$ , so:

$$d^{n+1} = d^n + (\bar{d} + \delta d) \tag{5.6.3.5}$$

For the formulation of the generalized -  $\alpha$  method applied to the updated Lagrange formulation, (5.6.2.1) and (5.6.2.2) remain the same, but now the momentum equation is expressed in incremental displacements of the Newton iteration  $\delta d$  rather then total displacements:

$$M(1 - \alpha_m)a^{n+1} + K'(1 - \alpha_f)\delta d = (1 - \alpha_f)\bar{F}^{n+1,k} - \alpha_m M a^n + \alpha_f \bar{F}^{n,k}.$$
 (5.6.3.6)

The formulation for  $a^{n+1}$  (equation 5.6.1.7), with 5.6.3.5 is now equal to:

$$a^{n+1} = \frac{1}{\beta \Delta t^2} (d^n + \bar{d} + \delta d - h^n)$$
 (5.6.3.7)

When this is substituted in (5.6.3.6), the resulting momentum equation looks like:

$$(M\frac{(1-\alpha_m)}{\beta\Delta t^2} + K'(1-\alpha_f))\delta d = (1-\alpha_f)\bar{F}^{n+1,k} - \alpha_m M a^n + \alpha_f \bar{F}^{n,k} - M\frac{(1-\alpha_m)}{\beta\Delta t^2}(d^n + \bar{d} - h^n).$$
(5.6.3.8)

This system can be solved for  $\delta d$  after which the total displacement can be constructed by (5.6.3.5).

 $\mathbf{5.6}.2$ 

TH Solidification February 2003 **6.**1

## 6 Solidification problems

At this moment only the enthalpy method in Section 6.1 is described.

TH Enthalpy method August 2004 **6.1.**1

#### 6.1 The enthalpy method

#### 6.1.1 Problem description

The two-phase Stefan problem on a three-dimensional domain  $\Omega$  with fixed outer boundary  $\delta\Omega$  and moving boundary  $\Gamma(t)$  is given by:

$$\begin{cases}
\rho c \frac{\partial T(\boldsymbol{x}, t)}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla T(\boldsymbol{x}, t)) + Q(\boldsymbol{x}, t) & \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega_{1,2}, t > 0 \\
+\rho L a_n = \left[\kappa \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\right] & \text{for } \boldsymbol{x} = \Gamma(t), t > 0 \\
T(\boldsymbol{x}, 0) = \bar{T}_1(\boldsymbol{x}) & \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega_{1,2}, t > 0
\end{cases}$$
(6.1.1a)

where we have set  $t_0 = 0$ , together with one or more of the following boundary conditions on the complementary parts  $\delta\Omega_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3 of the fixed outer boundary  $\delta\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^3 \delta\Omega_i$ :

1. A Dirichlet condition on  $\delta\Omega_1$ :

$$T = \bar{T}_2(\boldsymbol{x}). \tag{6.1.2}$$

2. A Neumann condition on  $\delta\Omega_2$ :

$$\kappa(T)\frac{\partial T}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \bar{q}(\boldsymbol{x}), \tag{6.1.3}$$

where **n** is the outward unit normal to the boundary surface, and  $\bar{q}(x)$  a given normal heat flux.

3. A radiation-type boundary condition on  $\delta\Omega_3$ :

$$\kappa(T)\frac{\partial T}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \bar{\alpha}(T), \tag{6.1.4}$$

where  $\bar{\alpha}(T)$  is a non-linear function of temperature.

In the enthalpy formulation the heat conduction equation and the Stefan condition are replaced by what is known as the enthalpy equation (in differential form):

$$H_t + \operatorname{div} \mathbf{q} = Q, \tag{6.1.5}$$

where H is the enthalpy function. In this study we will mostly restrict ourselves to isothermal phase-change (that is, a melting point  $T = T_m$ , instead of a melting trajectory). Besides, we will consider only problems in which the physical parameters  $\rho, c_s, c_l, \kappa_s, \kappa_l$  are constants. Subject to these assumptions, the enthalpy function is given by:

$$H = \begin{cases} \rho c_s(T - T_m), & T \le T_m \\ \rho c_l(T - T_m) + \rho L, & T > T_m \end{cases}$$

$$(6.1.6)$$

#### 6.1.2 Employing the Kirchoff transform

According to Alexiades and Solomon (1993), p. 216, the Kirchoff temperature is "the best choice for the enthalpy scheme since it is consistent with the mushy nodes being treated as isothermal." Besides, "faster convergence is observed in the iterative scheme, making it more efficient", Alexiades and Solomon (1993), p. 224. Alexiades et al. present the enthalpy formulation, which could be referred to as "Voller's enthalpy formulation", in Alexiades and Solomon (1993), Chapter 4.3.E. Because of the inherent advantages of applying the Kirchoff transform, we next present the enthalpy

6.1.2 Enthalpy method August 2004 TH

formulation and consecutively the Elliott-Ockendon SOR scheme, in case the Kirchoff transformation is applied.

The normalized Kirchoff transformed temperature for constant  $\kappa_s, \kappa_l$  is given by

$$u = \begin{cases} \kappa_s(T - T_m) & T < T_m \\ 0 & T = T_m \\ \kappa_l(T - T_m) & T > T_m \end{cases}$$

$$(6.1.1)$$

The corresponding enthalpy is

$$H = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho c_s u}{\kappa_s} & u \le 0\\ \frac{\rho c_l u}{\kappa_l} + \rho L & u > 0 \end{cases}$$
 (6.1.2)

The 1D finite volumes discretization of equation (6.1.5), using central differences in space and Euler backward in time, yields:

$$\frac{H_i^{n+1} - H_i^n}{\Delta t_n} - \frac{u_{i-1}^{n+1} - 2u_i^{n+1} + u_{i+1}^{n+1}}{\Delta x^2} = Q_i^{n+1}, \tag{6.1.3}$$

which after rearranging terms results in:

$$H_i^{n+1} + 2\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^2}u_i^{n+1} = \Delta tQ_i^{n+1} + H_i^n + \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^2}(u_{j-1}^{(p+1)} + u_{j+1}^{(p)}). \tag{6.1.4}$$

By giving names to the known terms, as in Alexiades and Solomon (1993):

$$C_j = 2\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^2},\tag{6.1.5}$$

$$b_j^n = \Delta t Q_i^{n+1} + H_i^n, (6.1.6)$$

$$z_j^{(p)} = b_j^n + \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^2} (u_{j-1}^{(p+1)} + u_{j+1}^{(p)}), \tag{6.1.7}$$

(6.1.8)

where the superscript (p) denotes the iteration number, and n the previous time level, we have the following system of equations (Gauss-Seidel):

$$H_i^{(p+1)} + C_i u_i^{(p+1)} = z_i^{(p)}.$$
 (6.1.9)

Consequently, the iteration process transforms into:

- 1. Compute  $C_j$  and  $z_j^{(p)}$ .
- 2. Compute  $\tilde{u}_{j}^{(p+1)}$  from

$$\tilde{u}_{j}^{(p+1)} = \begin{cases}
\frac{z_{j}^{(p)}}{\rho c_{s}/\kappa_{s} + C_{j}} & z_{j}^{(p)} \leq 0, \\
0 & 0 < z_{j}^{(p)} < \rho L, \\
\frac{z_{j}^{(p)} - \rho L}{\rho c_{l}/\kappa_{l} + C_{j}} & z_{j}^{(p)} \geq \rho L
\end{cases}$$
(6.1.10)

- 3. Set  $\hat{u}_j^{(p+1)}=u_j^{(p)}+\omega[\tilde{u}_j^{(p+1)}-u_j^{(p)}]$  (Over-relaxation).
- 4. Set

$$u_j^{(p+1)} = \begin{cases} \hat{u}_j^{(p+1)} & \text{if } \hat{u}_j^{(p+1)} \cdot u_j^{(p)} > 0, \\ \tilde{u}_j^{(p+1)} & \text{if } \tilde{u}_j^{(p+1)} \cdot u_j^{(p)} \le 0 \end{cases}, \tag{6.1.11}$$

that is, only over-relax the nodes that have not just changed phase.

5. If a convergence criterion, say  $\|u_j^{(p+1)} - u_j^{(p)}\| < tolerance$ , is satisfied, then set  $u_j^{n+1} = u_j^{(p+1)}$ , hence

$$T_j^{n+1} = \begin{cases} T_m + u_j^{n+1}/\kappa_s & u_j^{n+1} < 0, \\ T_m & u_j^{n+1} = 0, , \\ T_m + u_j^{n+1}/\kappa_l & u_j^{n+1} > 0, \end{cases}$$
(6.1.12)

and 
$$H_j^{n+1} = z_j^{(p)} - C_j \cdot u_j^{n+1}$$
.

#### 6.1.3 Sepran implementation

The Sepran implementation of "Voller's method" in combination with Elliott-Ockendon SOR requires some adjustments to be made with concern to the solution algorithm. Next we present a short overview of the finite element equivalent to the previously described finite volume formulation. Starting point is the enthalpy equation:

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} - \nabla(\kappa \nabla T) = Q, \tag{6.1.1}$$

subject to the boundary conditions on the disjunct boundaries  $\partial\Omega_1, \partial\Omega_2, \partial\Omega_1\cup\partial\Omega_2=\partial\Omega$ :

$$T = T_1, \quad \text{for } x \in \partial \Omega_1,$$
 (6.1.2)

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = 0, \quad \text{for } x \in \partial \Omega_2.$$
 (6.1.3)

Using the standard basis functions  $\phi$  and the standard Galerkin approximations

$$T(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N+N_b} T_j(t)\phi_j, \qquad H(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N+N_b} H_j(t)\phi_j, \qquad (6.1.4)$$

where N denotes the number of nodal points  $\notin \partial \Omega_1$ , the system of Galerkin equations is given by:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N+N_b} \left\{ \frac{\partial H_j}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega} \phi_j \phi_i d\Omega + T_j \int_{\Omega} \kappa \nabla \phi_j \nabla \phi_i d\Omega \right\} = \int_{\Omega} Q_i \phi_i d\Omega, \tag{6.1.5}$$

for i = 1, 2, ..., N. Or in matrix-vector notation:

$$M\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} + S\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{b}. \tag{6.1.6}$$

In case Euler backward is applied for the time integration, the final system is given by:

$$M\frac{\mathbf{H}^{n+1} - \mathbf{H}^n}{\Delta t} + S\mathbf{T}^{n+1} = \mathbf{b}^{n+1}.$$
(6.1.7)

If we let  $\tilde{M}$  be the lumped version of the mass matrix M and D the diagonal of the stiffness matrix S, then for a Kirchoff transformed temperature u the third step of the iteration process as described in Section 6.1.2 is replaced by: Compute  $\tilde{u}_i^{(p+1)}$  from

$$\tilde{u}_{j}^{(p+1)} = \begin{cases}
\frac{z_{j}^{(p)}}{D_{jj}\Delta t + \tilde{M}_{jj}\rho c_{s}/\kappa_{s}} & z_{j}^{(p)} \leq 0, \\
0 & 0 < z_{j}^{(p)} < \rho L, \\
\frac{z_{j}^{(p)} - \tilde{M}_{jj}\rho L}{D_{jj}\Delta t + \tilde{M}_{jj}\rho c_{l}/\kappa_{l}} & z_{j}^{(p)} \geq \rho L
\end{cases}$$
(6.1.8)

The enthalpy is updated according to:

$$H_j^{n+1} = (z_j^{(p)} - D_{jj}\Delta t \cdot u_j^{n+1})/\tilde{M}_{jj}$$
(6.1.9)

TH Flow problems August 2004 7.1

## 7 Flow problems

This chapter contains a description of the theory of the flow problems. At this moment only a part of Chapter 7 concerning incompressible flow has been written.

# 7.1 The isothermal laminar flow of incompressible or slightly compressible liquids

At this moment only a part of Section 7.1 concerning surface tension has been written.

#### 7.1.1 Computation of the surface tension

The surface tension is an extra force acting on a surface. This tension is proportional to the curvature. The larger the local curvature the larger the surface tension. There are several ways to express the surface tension as part of the normal stress on the boundary. One way to express it is:

$$(\sigma_{ij}n_j)_{\text{surface\_tension}} = \frac{\gamma}{R}n_i \quad (R^2 \text{only})$$
 (7.1.1.1)

or more general

$$(\sigma_{ij}n_j)_{\text{surface\_tension}} = 2\gamma H n_i$$
 (7.1.1.2)

with  $\gamma$  the surface tension coefficient,  $\sigma_{ij}$  the components of the stress tensor and  $n_i$  the components of the outward normal.

H is the mean curvature defined by

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2}) \tag{7.1.1.3}$$

with  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  the radii corresponding to the principal curvatures. These curvatures can be taken in any set of orthogonal directions. Mark that in  $R^2$  we have  $R_2 = \infty$ , so  $2H = \frac{1}{R}$ . In some cases the curvature can be expressed explicitly in a formula like

- $R^2$  y = h(x) then  $\frac{1}{R} = \frac{h_{xx}}{(1+h_x^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$
- $R^2$  axi-symmetric r = r(z) then  $\frac{1}{R_1} = \frac{r_{zz}}{(1+r_z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}; \frac{1}{R_2} = -\frac{r_z}{r(1+r_z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$
- $R^3$  z = h(x,y) then  $H = \frac{(1+h_u^2)h_{uu} 2h_uh_vh_{uv} + (1+h_u^2)h_{vv}}{(1+h_u^2+h_v^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ , with u and v coordinates along the free surface.

However, all these expressions are difficult to evaluate so it is easier to utilize the following expression:

$$2H = \operatorname{div} \frac{\nabla s}{||\nabla s||} = -\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{n}) \tag{7.1.1.4}$$

with **n** the outward normal and the free surface is given by  $s(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ . Combination of (7.1.1.2) and (7.1.1.4) gives

$$(\sigma_{ij}n_j)_{\text{surface\_tension}} = -\gamma(n_{k,k})n_i$$
 (7.1.1.5)

In some literature this term is written as

$$-\left(\gamma\nabla_{\mathbf{s}}\cdot\mathbf{n}\right)\mathbf{n}\tag{7.1.1.6}$$

where  $\nabla_s \cdot \mathbf{n}$  denotes the curvature and  $\nabla_s$  the surface gradient operator defined by

$$\nabla_s p = \frac{\partial p}{\partial s_1} \mathbf{t}_1 + \frac{\partial p}{\partial s_2} \mathbf{t}_2 \tag{7.1.1.7}$$

with  $\mathbf{t}_1$  and  $\mathbf{t}_2$  tangential vectors along the surfaces and  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  the coordinates along the corresponding coordinate directions.

These last expressions are important for the implementation in the finite element method. Consider for example momentum equations in case of the Stokes equation:

$$-\sigma_{ij,j} = \rho f_i \tag{7.1.1.8}$$

After constructing the weak formulation and the Galerkin method we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma_{ij}(\phi_l)_{,j} d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} \rho f_i \phi_l d\Omega + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma_{ij} n_j \phi_l d\Gamma$$
 (7.1.1.9)

**7.1.**2 Surface tension February 2003 TH

So the surface tension part can be written as

$$\int_{\Gamma} 2\gamma H n_i \phi_l d\Gamma = -\gamma \int_{\Gamma} (n_{k,k}) n_i \phi_l d\Gamma$$
 (7.1.1.10)

Expression (7.1.1.10) makes it natural to use integration by parts (Green's theorem on the surface) to get

$$-\gamma \int_{\Gamma} (n_{k,k}) n_i \phi_l d\Gamma = -\int_{\Gamma} (\gamma \phi_l)_{,i} d\Gamma + \int_{\partial \Gamma} \gamma \phi_l t_i ds$$
 (7.1.1.11)

with  $\partial\Gamma$  the boundary of  $\Gamma$ .

In  $\mathbb{R}^2$  the term on the boundary  $\partial\Gamma$  is written as

$$\gamma [t_i \phi_l]_{l_1}^{l_2} = (\gamma t_i \phi_l)|_{l_2} - (\gamma t_i \phi_l)|_{l_1}$$
(7.1.1.12)

with  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  the begin and end point of the boundary  $\partial \Gamma$ . Mark that the last two terms can be prescribed by either prescribing the displacement of a point in which case the term vanishes, or by giving the contact angle. If the boundary is closed these terms obviously are not present.

In the axi-symmetric case we have to include both  $\kappa_1 = \frac{1}{R_1}$  and  $\kappa_2 = \frac{1}{R_2}$ . The surface tension contribution in that case can be written as

$$2\pi \int_{\Gamma} \gamma(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) n_i \phi_l r d\Gamma = 2\pi \left( \int_{\Gamma} \gamma \kappa_1 n_i \phi_l r d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma} \gamma \kappa_2 n_i \phi_l r d\Gamma \right)$$
 (7.1.1.13)

with  $\Gamma$  integration in the (r, z) plane. The first term in (7.1.1.13) can be written as (see 7.1.1.10):

$$2\pi \int_{\Gamma} \gamma \kappa_1 n_i \phi_l r d\Gamma = 2\pi \int_{\Gamma} \gamma \frac{dt_i}{ds} \phi_l r d\Gamma$$
 (7.1.1.14)

Integration by parts gives:

$$2\pi \int_{\Gamma} \gamma \frac{dt_i}{ds} \phi_l r d\Gamma = -2\pi \int_{\Gamma} \gamma \frac{d\phi_l r}{ds} t_i d\Gamma + 2\pi \gamma [rt_i \phi_l]_{l_1}^{l_2} = -2\pi \int_{\Gamma} \gamma (r \frac{d\phi_l}{ds} + \phi_l \frac{dr}{ds}) t_i d\Gamma + 2\pi \gamma [rt_i \phi_l]_{l_1}^{l_2}$$

$$(7.1.1.15)$$

The second term in (7.1.1.13) can be written as

$$-2\pi \int_{\Gamma} \gamma \kappa_2 n_i \phi_l r d\Gamma = -2\pi \int_{\Gamma} \gamma \frac{r_z}{r(1+r_z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \phi_l r d\Gamma = -2\pi (\int_{\Gamma} \gamma t_2 n_i \phi_l d\Gamma), \qquad (7.1.1.16)$$

since

$$\mathbf{t} = \frac{1}{(1+r_z)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ r_z \end{pmatrix} \tag{7.1.1.17}$$

TH spectral elements February 2003 8.1

## 8 Second order elliptic and parabolic equations using spectral elements

This chapter is under preparation

## References

- **Alexiades V. and A.D. Solomon** (1993) Mathematical modeling of melting and freezing processes, Hemisphere Publishing Corporation, 1993.
- Bath Klaus-Jürgen (1982) Finite Element Procedures in Engineering Analysis, Prentice-Hall, Inc, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 07632, 1982.
- Bertrand F., P.A. Tanguy and F. Thibault (1997) A three-dimensional fictitious domain method for incompressible fluid flow problems, Int. J. for Num. Methods in Fluids, Vol. 25, pp. 719-736, 1997
- Brooks A.N. and T.J.R. Hughes (1982) Stream-line upwind/Petrov-Galerkin formulation for convection dominated flows with particular emphasis on the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg., 32, pp 199-259, 1982.
- Canuto C., M.Y. Hussaini, A. Quarteroni, and T.A. Zang (1988) Spectral methods in fluid dynamics. Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin.
- Caswell B. and M. Viriyayuthakorn (1983) Finite element simulation of die swell for a Maxwell fluid, J. of Non-Newt. Fluid Mech. Vol 12, 13-29.
- Cuvelier C. (1980) On the numerical solution of a capillary free boundary problem governed by the Navier-Stokes equations, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol 141, pp 373-384, (1980). Editor: M. Jean.
- Cuvelier C., A. Segal and A.A. van Steenhoven (1986) Finite element methods and Navier-Stokes equations. Mathematics and its applications, Reidel publishing company, Dordrecht.
- Van Duijn, C.J., L.A. Peletier, R.J. Schotting (1993) On the Analysis of Brine Transport in Porous Media, Eur. J. Appl. Math. Vol. 4, p. 271-302.
- Duijn, C.J. van, R.A. Wooding, A. van der Ploeg (2000) Stability Criteria for the Boundary Layer Formed by Throughflow at a Horizontal Surface of a Porous Medium, to appear
- **Gielen A. W. J.** (1998) A continuum approach to the mechanics of contracting skeletal muscle. PhD thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology, 1998.
- Girault V. and P.A. Raviart (1979) Finite element approximation of the Navier-Stokes equations. Lecture notes in mathematics, 749, Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- Glowinski R. and A. Marrocco (1974) Analyse numerique du champ magnetique d'un alternateur par element finis et sur-relaxation ponctuelle non lineaire. Computer Methods in applied mechanics and engineering (1974), 3, 55-85
- Glowinski Roland, Tsorng-Way Pan and Jacques Periaux (1994a) A fictitious domain method for Dirichlet problem and applications, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 111, pp 283-303, 1994.
- Glowinski Roland, Tsorng-Way Pan and Jacques Periaux (1994b) A fictitious domain method for external incompressible viscous flow modeled by Navier-Stokes equations, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 112, pp 133-148, 1994.
- Glowinski Roland, Tsorng-Way Pan, Todd I Hesla, Daniel D. Joseph and Jacques Periaux (1999) A distributed Lagrange multiplier/fictitious domain method for flows around moving rigid bodies: application to particulate flow, Int. J. for Num. Methods in Fluids, Vol. 30, pp. 1043-1066, 1999

- **Harrison W.J.** (1913) The hydrodynamical theory of lubrication with special reference to air as a lubricant, Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc., (1913), 22, , pp 39-54
- Heijningen G.G.J. van and C.G.M. Kassels (1987) Elastohydrodynamic lubrication of an Oil Pumping Ring Seal.
- **Hinze J. O.** (1975) *Turbulence*, New York, McGraw-Hill,  $2^{nd}$  edition.
- Hirasaki, G.J., J.D. Hellums (1968) A General Formulation of the Boundary Condition on the Vector Potential in Three-Dimensional Hydrodynamics, Q. Appl. Math., Vol. 26, p. 331
- Hughes Thomas J.R. (1987) The Finite Element Method. Linear, static and dynamic Finite Element Analysis, Prentice-Hall, Inc. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 07632, 1987.
- Hussain A.K.M.F. and W.C. Reynolds (1975) Measurements in fully developed channel flow, Journal of Fluids Engineering, pp 568-578.
- Kruyt N.P., C. Cuvelier and A. Segal (1988) A total linearization method for solving viscous free boundary flow problems by the finite element method, Int. J. for Num. Methods in Fluids, Vol. 8, pp. 351-363, 1988
- Mizukami A. and T.J.R. Hughes (1985) A Petrov-Galerkin finite element method for convectiondominated flows: an accurate upwinding technique for satisfying the maximum principle, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 50, pp. 181-193, 1985
- Mohr G.A. (1992) Finite elements for solids, fluids and optimization, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1992.
- Morgan K.J., J. Périaux and F. Thomasset (1984) Analysis of Laminar Flow over a Backward Facing Step, Proceedings of the GAMM Workshop held at Bièvres (Fr.), Vieweg Verlag Braunschweig, 1984.
- Ogden R.W. (1984) Non-linear elastic deformations, Mathematics and its applications. Ellis Horwood Limited, 1984.
- Peng S. H. and W. V. Chang. (1997) A compressible approach in finite element analysis of rubber-elastic materials., Computers & Structures, 62(3):573–593, 1997.
- Pieters, G.J.M. (2000) Natural Convection Drive By Groundwater Flow in a Porous Medium, Master thesis, Delft University of Technology, Faculty of Mathematics.
- Rodi W. (1980) Turbulence models and their application in hydraulics, Delft, Int. Ass. for Hydraulic Res., 1980.
- Segal Guus, Kees Vuik, Kees Kassels (1994) On the implementation of symmetric and antisymmetric periodic boundary conditions for incompressible flow, Int. J. for Num. Methods in Fluids, Vol. 18, pp. 1153-1165, 1994
- Souza Neto E.A. de, D.Perić, M.Dutko, and D.R.J. Owen (1996) Design of simple low order finite elements for large strain analysis of nearly incompressible solids., Int. J. Solids Structures, Vol. 33, pp.3277-3296, 1996.
- Silliman W.J. and L.E. Scriven (1980) Separating flow near a static contact line: Slip at Wall and Shape of a Free Surface, Journal of Computational Physics, Vol. 34, pp. 287-313, 1980
- Tanner, R.I, R.E. Nickel and R.W. Bilger (1975) Finite element methods for the solution of some incompressible non-Newtonian fluid mechanics problems with free surfaces. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng., 6, p. 155-174.
- Tabata, Masahisa and Kazuhiro Itakura (1995) Precise computation of drag coefficients of the sphere. INSAM report no 12 (95-07), Department of Mathematics, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima, 739, Japan

- Tennekes H. and J. L. Lumley (1974) An introduction to turbulence, Cambridge (Mass.), The MIT Press,  $3^{rd}$  printing.
- Vahl Davis, G. de (1982) Natural convection of air in a square cavity: A bench mark numerical solution, Report 1892/FMT/2, School of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, University of South Wales.
- van de Vosse F.N. (1987) Numerical analysis of carotid artery flow. Thesis Eindhoven University of Technology, 1987.
- van de Vosse F.N. and P.D. Minev (1996) Spectral elements methods: Theory and applications. EUT Report 96-W-001 ISBN 90-236-0318-5, Eindhoven University of Technology, June 1996.
- C. Vuik, A. Segal, J.A. Meijerink (1998) An efficient preconditioned CG method for the solution of layered problems with extreme contrasts in the coefficients. Report 98-20. Reports of the Faculty of Math. and Inf., Delft University of Technology. ISSN 0922-5641
- Wassenaar R.H. (1994) Simultaneous heat and mass transfer in a horizontal tube film absorber; numerical tools for present and future absorber designs, Ph.D. thesis Delft University of Technology, p. 73-75
- Wekken B.J.C. van der, R.H. Wassenaar (1988) Simultaneous heat and mass transfer accompanying absorption in laminar flow over a cooled wall, Int. J. Refrig. 11, 70-77
- Wekken B.J.C. van der, R.H. Wassenaar, A. Segal (1988) Finite element method solution of simultaneous two-dimensional heat and mass transfer in laminar film flow, Wärme- und Stoffübertragung (1988) 22, 347-354.
- Wooding, R.A. (1960) Rayleigh Instability of a Thermal Boundary Layer in Flow Through a Porous Medium, J. Fluid Mech., Vol. 9 p.183-192
- Yih S.M. (1986) Modeling heat and mass transfer in falling liquid films, in N.P. Cheremisinoff (ed.), Handbook of heat and mass transfer 2, Gulf Publ. Corp., Houston, ch. 5.
- Zienkiewicz O.C. and R.L. Taylor (1989) The Finite Element Method, Volume 1, Fourth Edition, McGraw-Hill Book Company, London
- **Zienkiewicz O.C. and R.L. Taylor** (1989) *The Finite Element Method*, Volume 2, Fourth Edition, McGraw-Hill Book Company, London

TH Index August 2004 10.1

#### Index

```
Cauchy stress, 5.4.1
conservation of mass, 5.4.1
conservation of momentum, 5.4.1
enthalpy method, 6.1
Incompressible Neo Hookean material, 5.4.1
Incompressible isotropic hyper-elastic material, 5.4.1
Kirchoff temperature, 6.1
melting point, 6.1
melting trajectory, 6.1
Nonlinear solids, 5.4.1
phase-change, 6.1
Stefan problem, 6.1
surface tension, 7.1.1
Updated Lagrange approach, 5.4.1
```

10.2 Index August 2004 TH