## Early Exercise Option Valuation



- With $V\left(t_{M}, S\left(t_{M}\right)\right)=E\left(t_{M}, S\left(t_{M}\right)\right)$ we find the option price via backward induction:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
V\left(t_{M}, S\left(t_{M}\right)\right) & =E\left(t_{M}, S\left(t_{M}\right)\right) \\
C\left(t_{m}, S\left(t_{m}\right)\right) & =e^{-r \Delta t \mathbb{E}_{t_{m}}}\left[V\left(t_{m+1}, S\left(t_{m+1}\right)\right)\right] \\
V\left(t_{m}, S\left(t_{m}\right)\right) & =\max \left\{C\left(t_{m}, S\left(t_{m}\right)\right), E\left(t_{m}, S\left(t_{m}\right)\right)\right\}, \\
V\left(t_{0}, S\left(t_{0}\right)\right) & =C\left(t_{0}, S\left(t_{0}\right)\right),
\end{array} \quad m=M-1, \ldots, 1,\right.
$$

## Discounted Expected Payoff

- Write, in the case of deterministic interest rates, as an integral:

$$
C\left(t_{m}, S\left(t_{m}\right)\right)=e^{-r \Delta t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V\left(t_{m+1}, y\right) f\left(y \mid S\left(t_{m}\right)\right) d y
$$

- O'Sullivan(2005): Generalization to exponential Lévy processes, as the density can be recovered via Fourier inversion.
- With the midpoint rule, the density can be approximated and resolved by the FFT. Overall complexity of $O\left(M N^{2}\right)$ for M-times exercisable Bermudan options.


## The CONV method (Carr-Madan extended)

- The main premise of the CONV method is that $f(y \mid x)$ depends on $x$ and $y$ via

$$
f(y \mid x)=f(y-x) .
$$

- Assumption is clearly satisfied in exp. Lévy models, where $x$ and $y$ then represent log-asset prices. The assumption means that log-returns are independent.

$$
\begin{aligned}
C\left(t_{m}, x\right) & =e^{-r \Delta t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V\left(t_{m+1}, y\right) f(y \mid x) d y \\
& =e^{-r \Delta t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V\left(t_{m+1}, x+z\right) f(z) d z
\end{aligned}
$$

- The key insight is the notion that, apart from the discounting, the equation is a cross-correlation of $V$ with the density function $f$.


## Early Exercise Option Valuation

- Premultiplying by $\exp (\alpha x)$ and taking its Fourier transform, gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{r \Delta t} \mathcal{F}\left\{e^{\alpha x} C\left(t_{m}, x\right)\right\} & =e^{r \Delta t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i u x} e^{\alpha x} C\left(t_{m}, x\right) d x \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i u x} e^{\alpha x} V\left(t_{m+1}, x+z\right) f(z) d z d x \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(u-i \alpha) y} V\left(t_{m+1}, y\right) d y \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i(u-i \alpha) z} f(z) c \\
& =\widetilde{V}\left(t_{m+1}, u-i \alpha\right) \phi(-(u-i \alpha)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- A computation for resolving the (conditional) density function is avoided, only the characteristic function $\phi$ is involved.
- The option price is recovered by the inverse Fourier transform and undamping.


## Some Details

- The extended characteristic function

$$
\phi(x+y i)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(x+y i) z} f(z) d z
$$

is well-defined when $\phi(y i)<\infty$, as $|\phi(x+y i)| \leq|\phi(y i)|$.
$\Rightarrow$ This puts a restriction on the damping coefficient $\alpha$, because $\phi(\alpha i)$ must be finite.

- The damping factor is necessary when considering e.g. a Bermudan put, as then $V\left(t_{m+1}, x\right)$ tends to a constant when $x \rightarrow-\infty$, and as such is not $L^{1}$-integrable.
- The difference with the Carr-Madan approach is that we take a transform with respect to the log-spot price instead of the log-strike price.
$\Rightarrow$ The idea for GBM is already present in a presentation by Eric Reiner (2000)


## Algorithm

The algorithm may now be clear, with $E\left(t_{0}, x\right)=0$ :

- $V\left(t_{M}, x\right)=E\left(t_{M}, x\right)$ for all $x$
- For $m=M-1$ to 0
- Dampen $V\left(t_{m+1}, y\right)$ and take its Fourier transform
- Multiply with $\phi(-u+i \alpha)$
- Apply Fourier inversion and undamp
- $V\left(t_{m}, x\right)=\max \left\{E\left(t_{m}, x\right), C\left(t_{m}, x\right)\right\}$
- Next $m$


## Expressions for hedge parameters

- The CONV formulae for two hedge parameters, $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$, defined as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}=\frac{1}{S} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}, \Gamma=\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial S^{2}}=\frac{1}{S^{2}}\left(-\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x^{2}}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Define, $\mathcal{F}\left\{e^{\alpha x} V\left(t_{0}, x\right)\right\}=e^{-r \Delta t} A(u)$, where $A(u)=\mathcal{F}\left\{e^{\alpha y} V\left(t_{1}, y\right)\right\} \cdot \phi(-u+i \alpha)$.
- CONV formula for $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta & =\frac{e^{-\alpha x} e^{-r \Delta t}}{S}\left[\mathcal{F}^{-1}\{-i u A(u)\}-\alpha \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{A(u)\}\right] \\
\Gamma & =\frac{e^{-\alpha x} e^{-r \Delta t}}{S^{2}}\left[\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{(-i u)^{2} A(u)\right\}-(1+2 \alpha) \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{-i u A(u)\}\right. \\
& \left.+\alpha(\alpha+1) \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{A(u)\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## CONV Method, FFT

- Step 1 - The payoff transform

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}\left\{e^{\alpha y} V\left(t_{m+1}, y\right)\right\}(u) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i u y} e^{\alpha y} V\left(t_{m+1}, y\right) d y \\
& \approx \Delta y \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} w_{n} e^{i u_{j} y_{n}} e^{\alpha y_{n}} V\left(t_{m+1}, y_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Can be evaluated using the FFT, use the Trapezoidal rule, for example.
- Need uniform grids for $u, x$ (log-asset price at $t_{m}$ ) and $y$ (log-asset price at $t_{m+1}$ ).
- Further, the Nyquist relation must be satisfied: $\Delta u \cdot \Delta x=2 \pi / N$.


## Error analysis of the CONV method

- Rederive discretized CONV formula by a Fourier series expansion of continuation value.
- This reveals that
- Only moment restriction on $\alpha$ is necessary ( $L^{1}$ integrability is replaced by $L^{1}$-summability);
- If $\phi$ decays faster than a polynomial, the discretized CONV formula converges as $O\left(1 / N^{2}\right)$ for continuous payoff functions;
- If $\phi$ decays as $x^{\beta}$, the order is $O\left(1 / N^{\min \{1+\beta, 2\}}\right)$ for continuous payoff functions.


## Dealing with discontinuities for Bermudan Options

## Discretization I



Discretization II


- Consider two discretizations:
- Discretization I: $x=y$ throughout, and $\ln S(0)$ lies on the grid;
- Discretization II: At each time, $t_{m}$, we place $d_{m}$ on the $x$-grid.

1. Estimate $d_{m}$ in $C\left(t_{m}, d_{m}\right)=E\left(t_{m}, d_{m}\right)$;
2. Place $d_{m}$ on the $x$-grid and recalculate $C\left(t_{m}\right)$;
3. Re-evaluate exercise decision and continue.

## Bermudan option , Discretization II

- Pricing 10-times exercisable Bermudan put under GBM and VG
- $S_{0}=100, K=110, T=1, r=0.1, q=0$;
- For GBM: $\sigma=0.25$, reference $=11.1352431$;
- For VG: $\sigma=0.12, \theta=-0.14, \nu=0.2$, reference $=9.040646114$;

| $\left(N=2^{n}\right)$ | GBM |  |  | VG |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | time(msec) | abs. error | conv. | time(msec) | abs. error | conv |
| 7 | 0.23 | $-2.7-02$ | - | 0.28 | $-9.6 \mathrm{e}-02$ | - |
| 8 | 0.46 | $-7.4-03$ | 3.7 | 0.55 | $-1.1 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 9.0 |
| 9 | 0.90 | $-2.0 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 3.7 | 1.09 | $-2.3 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 4.7 |
| 10 | 2.00 | $-5.2 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 3.8 | 2.15 | $-6.1 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 3.8 |
| 11 | 3.85 | $-1.3 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 4.0 | 4.38 | $-1.6 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 3.8 |
| 12 | 7.84 | $-3.3 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 4.0 | 9.29 | $-4.1 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 3.9 |

## Approximation of American option

- The value of an American option can be approximated
- either by a Bermudan with many exercise dates,
- or, by Richardson extrapolation on a series of Bermudan options with an increasingly number of exercise dates
- To this end assume that the Bermudan price $V(\Delta t)$, with $\Delta t$ the time step between two consecutive exercise moments, can be written as:

$$
V(\Delta t)=V(0)+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i}(\Delta t)^{\gamma_{i}}
$$

## American option under GBM

- $\lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} P(M)=$ American option value
- Approximate the American option value by $P(M)$ with a big $M$.
- Reconstruct a faster converging series $P^{\prime}(M)$ by
- $S_{0}=100, K=110, T=1, \sigma=0.25, r=0.1, q=0$;
- Reference value: $V_{\text {ref }}(0, S(0)=12.169417$ (Black-Scholes)
- Richardson extrapolation with 128, 64 and 32 exercise opportunities

| $\left(N=2^{n}\right)$ | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{N} / 2)$ |  |  | Richardson |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | time(msec) | error | conv. | time $(\mathrm{msec})$ | error | conv. |
| 7 | 0.97 | $-5.9 \mathrm{e}-02$ | - | 3.3 | $-3.1 \mathrm{e}-02$ | - |
| 8 | 3.7 | $-2.2 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 2.6 | 6.6 | $-7.8 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 3.9 |
| 9 | 14.8 | $-9.3 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 2.4 | 14.0 | $-2.1 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 3.8 |
| 10 | 60.0 | $-4.16 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 2.2 | 28.4 | $-5.2 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 4.0 |
| 11 | 251.7 | $-2.0 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 2.1 | 66.4 | $-1.2 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 4.3 |
| 12 | 1108.1 | $-9.4 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 2.1 | 151.9 | $-2.1 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 5.8 |

## Yet Another Method: Fourier-Cosine Expansion

- The COS method:
- Exponential convergence;
- Greeks are obtained at no additional cost.
- For discretely-monitored barrier and Bermudan options as well;
- The basic idea:
- Replace the density by its Fourier-cosine series expansion;
- Series coefficients have simple relation with characteristic function.


## Series Coefficients of the Density and the Ch.F.

- Fourier-Cosine expansion of density function on interval $[a, b]$ :

$$
f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\prime \infty} F_{n} \cos \left(n \pi \frac{x-a}{b-a}\right)
$$

with $x \in[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and the coefficients defined as

$$
F_{n}:=\frac{2}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \cos \left(n \pi \frac{x-a}{b-a}\right) d x
$$

- $F_{n}$ has direct relation to ch.f., $\phi(u):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{i u x} d x$ $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R} \backslash[a, b]} f(x) \approx 0\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{n} \approx A_{n} & :=\frac{2}{b-a} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \cos \left(n \pi \frac{x-a}{b-a}\right) d x \\
& =\frac{2}{b-a} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\phi\left(\frac{n \pi}{b-a}\right) \exp \left(-i \frac{k a \pi}{b-a}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Recovering Densities

- Replace $F_{n}$ by $A_{n}$, and truncate the summation:

$$
f(x) \approx \frac{2}{b-a} \sum_{n=0}^{\prime N-1} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\phi\left(\frac{n \pi}{b-a} ; x\right) \exp \left(i n \pi \frac{-a}{b-a}\right)\right\} \cos \left(n \pi \frac{x-a}{b-a}\right)
$$

- Example: $f(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}},[a, b]=[-10,10]$ and $x=\{-5,-4, \cdots, 4,5\}$.

| $N$ | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| error | 0.2538 | 0.1075 | 0.0072 | $4.04 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $3.33 \mathrm{e}-16$ |
| cpu time (sec.) | 0.0025 | 0.0028 | 0.0025 | 0.0031 | 0.0032 |

Exponential error convergence in $N$.

## Pricing European Options

- Start from the risk-neutral valuation formula:

$$
V\left(x, t_{0}\right)=e^{-r \Delta t} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[V(y, T) \mid x]=e^{-r \Delta t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V(y, T) f(y \mid x) d y
$$

- Truncate the integration range:

$$
V\left(x, t_{0}\right)=e^{-r \Delta t} \int_{[a, b]} V(y, T) f(y \mid x) d y+\varepsilon .
$$

- Replace the density by the COS approximation, and interchange summation and integration:

$$
\hat{V}\left(x, t_{0}\right)=e^{-r \Delta t} \sum_{n=0}^{\prime N-1} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\phi\left(\frac{n \pi}{b-a} ; x\right) e^{-i n \pi \frac{a}{b-a}}\right\} \mathcal{V}_{n},
$$

where the series coefficients of the payoff, $\mathcal{V}_{n}$, are analytic.

## Pricing European Options

- Log-asset prices: $x:=\ln \left(S_{0} / K\right)$ and $y:=\ln \left(S_{T} / K\right)$,
- The payoff for European options reads

$$
V(y, T) \equiv\left[\alpha \cdot K\left(e^{y}-1\right)\right]^{+} .
$$

- For a call option, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_{k}^{\text {call }} & =\frac{2}{b-a} \int_{0}^{b} K\left(e^{y}-1\right) \cos \left(k \pi \frac{y-a}{b-a}\right) d y \\
& =\frac{2}{b-a} K\left(\chi_{k}(0, b)-\psi_{k}(0, b)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- For a vanilla put, we find

$$
\mathcal{V}_{k}^{\text {put }}=\frac{2}{b-a} K\left(-\chi_{k}(a, 0)+\psi_{k}(a, 0)\right) .
$$

## Characteristic Functions Heston Model

- The characteristic function of the log-asset price for Heston's model:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{h e s}\left(u ; \sigma_{0}\right)= & \exp \left(i u r \Delta t+\frac{\sigma_{0}}{\gamma^{2}}\left(\frac{1-e^{-D \Delta t}}{1-G e^{-D \Delta t}}\right)(\kappa-i \rho \gamma u-D)\right) \\
& \exp \left(\frac{\kappa \bar{\sigma}}{\gamma^{2}}\left(\Delta t(\kappa-i \rho \gamma u-D)-2 \log \left(\frac{1-G e^{-D \Delta t}}{1-G}\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $D=\sqrt{(\kappa-i \rho \gamma u)^{2}+\left(u^{2}+i u\right) \gamma^{2}} \quad$ and $\quad G=\frac{\kappa-i \rho \gamma u-D}{\kappa-i \rho \gamma u+D}$.

- For Lévy and Heston models, the ChF can be represented by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(u ; \mathbf{x}) & =\varphi_{\text {levy }}(u) \cdot e^{i u \mathbf{x}} \quad \text { with } \quad \varphi_{\text {levy }}(u):=\phi(u ; 0) \\
\phi\left(u ; \mathbf{x}, \sigma_{0}\right) & =\varphi_{\text {hes }}\left(u ; \sigma_{0}\right) \cdot e^{i u \mathbf{x}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Characteristic Functions Lévy Processes

- For the CGMY/KoBol model:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\text {levy }}(u)= & \exp \left(i u(r-q) \Delta t-\frac{1}{2} u^{2} \sigma^{2} \Delta t\right) \\
& \exp \left(\Delta t C \Gamma(-Y)\left[(M-i u)^{Y}-M^{Y}+(G+i u)^{Y}-G^{Y}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ represents the gamma function.

- The parameters should satisfy $C \geq 0, G \geq 0, M \geq 0$ and $Y<2$.
- The characteristic function of the log-asset price for NIG:

$$
\varphi_{N I G}(u)=\exp \left(i u \mu+\delta\left(\sqrt{\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}}-\sqrt{\alpha^{2}-(\beta+i u)^{2}}\right)\right)
$$

with $\alpha, \delta>0, \beta \in(-\alpha, \alpha-1)$

## Heston Model

- We can present the $\mathcal{V}_{k}$ as $\mathbf{V}_{k}=\mathcal{U}_{k} \mathbf{K}$, where

$$
\mathcal{U}_{k}= \begin{cases}\frac{2}{b-a}\left(\chi_{k}(0, b)-\psi_{k}(0, b)\right) & \text { for a call } \\ \frac{2}{b-a}\left(-\chi_{k}(a, 0)+\psi_{k}(a, 0)\right) & \text { for a put. }\end{cases}
$$

- The pricing formula simplifies for Heston and Lévy processes:

$$
v\left(\mathrm{x}, t_{0}\right) \approx \mathrm{K} e^{-r \Delta t} \cdot \operatorname{Re}\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{\prime N-1} \varphi\left(\frac{n \pi}{b-a}\right) \mathcal{U}_{n} \cdot e^{i n \pi \frac{x-a}{b-a}}\right\}
$$

where $\varphi(u):=\phi(u ; 0)$

## Numerical Results

Pricing for 21 strikes $K=50,55,60, \cdots, 150$ under Heston's model. Other parameters: $S_{0}=100, r=0, q=0, T=1, \kappa=1.5768, \gamma=$ $0.5751, \bar{\sigma}=0.0398, \sigma_{0}=0.0175, \rho=-0.5711$.

| COS | $N$ | 96 | 128 | 160 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (msec.) | 2.039 | 2.641 | 3.220 |
|  | max. abs. err. | $4.52 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $2.61 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $4.40 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| Carr-Madan | $N$ | 2048 | 4096 | 8192 |
|  | (msec.) | 20.36 | 37.69 | 76.02 |
|  | max. abs. error | $2.61 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $2.15 e-03$ | $2.08 \mathrm{e}-07$ |

Error analysis for the COS method is provided in the COS paper.

## Pricing Bermudan Options



- The pricing formulae

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C\left(x, t_{m}\right)=e^{-r \Delta t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V\left(y, t_{m+1}\right) f(y \mid x) d y \\
V\left(x, t_{m}\right)=\max \left(E\left(x, t_{m}\right), C\left(x, t_{m}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $V\left(x, t_{0}\right)=e^{-r \Delta t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V\left(y, t_{1}\right) f(y \mid x) d y$.

- Use Newton's method to locate the early exercise point $x_{m}^{*}$, which is the root of $E\left(x, t_{m}\right)-C\left(x, t_{m}\right)=0$.
- Recover $\mathcal{V}_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)$ recursively from $\mathcal{V}_{n}\left(t_{M}\right), \mathcal{V}_{n}\left(t_{M-1}\right), \cdots, \mathcal{V}_{n}\left(t_{2}\right)$.
- Use the COS formula for $V\left(x, t_{0}\right)$.


## $\mathcal{V}_{k}$-Coefficients

- Once we have $x_{m}^{*}$, we split the integral, which defines $\mathcal{V}_{k}\left(t_{m}\right)$ :

$$
\mathcal{V}_{k}\left(t_{m}\right)= \begin{cases}\mathcal{C}_{k}\left(a, x_{m}^{*}, t_{m}\right)+\mathcal{G}_{k}\left(x_{m}^{*}, b\right), & \text { for a call } \\ \mathcal{G}_{k}\left(a, x_{m}^{*}\right)+\mathcal{C}_{k}\left(x_{m}^{*}, b, t_{m}\right), & \text { for a put }\end{cases}
$$

for $m=M-1, M-2, \cdots, 1$. whereby

$$
\mathcal{G}_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right):=\frac{2}{b-a} \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} E\left(x, t_{m}\right) \cos \left(k \pi \frac{x-a}{b-a}\right) d x .
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{C}_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t_{m}\right):=\frac{2}{b-a} \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} \hat{C}\left(x, t_{m}\right) \cos \left(k \pi \frac{x-a}{b-a}\right) d x .
$$

## Theorem

The $\mathcal{G}_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ are known analytically and the $\mathcal{C}_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t_{m}\right)$ can be computed in $O\left(N \log _{2}(N)\right)$ operations with the Fast Fourier Transform.

## Bermudan Details

- Formula for the coefficients $\mathcal{C}_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t_{m}\right)$ :

$$
\mathcal{C}_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t_{m}\right)=e^{-r \Delta t} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{\prime N-1} \varphi_{\text {levy }}\left(\frac{j \pi}{b-a}\right) \mathcal{V}_{j}\left(t_{m+1}\right) \cdot \mathcal{M}_{k, j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

where the coefficients $\mathcal{M}_{k, j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ are given by

$$
\mathcal{M}_{k, j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right):=\frac{2}{b-a} \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} e^{i j \pi \frac{x-a}{b-a}} \cos \left(k \pi \frac{x-a}{b-a}\right) d x
$$

- With fundamental calculus, we can rewrite $\mathcal{M}_{k, j}$ as

$$
\mathcal{M}_{k, j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=-\frac{i}{\pi}\left(\mathcal{M}_{k, j}^{c}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\mathcal{M}_{k, j}^{s}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right),
$$

## Hankel and Toeplitz

- Matrices $\mathcal{M}_{c}=\left\{\mathcal{M}_{k, j}^{c}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}_{k, j=0}^{N-1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{s}=\left\{\mathcal{M}_{k, j}^{s}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}_{k, j=0}^{N-1}$ have special structure for which the FFT can be employed: $\mathcal{M}_{c}$ is a Hankel matrix,

$$
\mathcal{M}_{c}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
m_{0} & m_{1} & m_{2} & \cdots & m_{N-1} \\
m_{1} & m_{2} & \cdots & \cdots & m_{N} \\
\vdots & & & & \vdots \\
m_{N-2} & m_{N-1} & \cdots & & m_{2 N-3} \\
m_{N-1} & \cdots & & m_{2 N-3} & m_{2 N-2}
\end{array}\right]_{N \times N}
$$

and $\mathcal{M}_{s}$ is a Toeplitz matrix,

$$
\mathcal{M}_{s}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
m_{0} & m_{1} & \cdots & m_{N-2} & m_{N-1} \\
m_{-1} & m_{0} & m_{1} & \cdots & m_{N-2} \\
\vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\
m_{2-N} & \cdots & m_{-1} & m_{0} & m_{1} \\
m_{1-N} & m_{2-N} & \cdots & m_{-1} & m_{0}
\end{array}\right]_{N \times N}
$$

## Bermudan puts with 10 early-exercise dates

Table: Test parameters for pricing Bermudan options

| Test No. | Model | $S_{0}$ | $K$ | $T$ | $r$ | $\sigma$ | Other Parameters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | BS | 100 | 110 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | - |
| 3 | CGMY | 100 | 80 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | $C=1, G=5, M=5, Y=1.5$ |




## Pricing Discrete Barrier Options

- The price of an $M$-times monitored up-and-out option satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
C\left(x, t_{m-1}\right) & =e^{-r\left(t_{m}-t_{m-1}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V\left(x, t_{m}\right) f(y \mid x) d y \\
V\left(x, t_{m-1}\right) & = \begin{cases}e^{-r\left(T-t_{m-1}\right)} R b, & x \geq h \\
C\left(x, t_{m-1}\right), & x<h\end{cases}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where $h=\ln (H / K)$, and
$V\left(x, t_{0}\right)=e^{-r\left(t_{m}-t_{m-1}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V\left(x, t_{1}\right) f(y \mid x) d y$.

- The technique:
- Recover $\mathcal{V}_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)$ recursively, from $\mathcal{V}_{n}\left(t_{M}\right), \mathcal{V}_{n}\left(t_{M-1}\right), \cdots, \mathcal{V}_{n}\left(t_{2}\right)$ in $O\left((M-1) N \log _{2}(N)\right)$ operations.
- Split the integration range at the barrier level (no Newton required)
- Insert $\mathcal{V}_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)$ in the COS formula to get $V\left(x, t_{0}\right)$, in $O(N)$ operations.


## Monthly-monitored Barrier Options

Table: Test parameters for pricing barrier options

| Test No. | Model | $S_{0}$ | $K$ | $T$ | $r$ | $q$ | Other Parameters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | NIG | 100 | 100 | 1 | 0.05 | 0.02 | $\alpha=15, \beta=-5, \delta=0.5$ |


| Option <br> Type | Ref. Val. | $N$ | time | error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DOP | 2.139931117 | $2^{7}$ | 3.7 | $1.28 \mathrm{e}-3$ |
|  |  | $2^{8}$ | 5.4 | $4.65 \mathrm{e}-5$ |
|  |  | $2^{9}$ | 8.4 | $1.39 \mathrm{e}-7$ |
|  |  | $2^{10}$ | 14.7 | $1.38 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| DOC | 8.983106036 | $2^{7}$ | 3.7 | $1.09 \mathrm{e}-3$ |
|  |  | $2^{8}$ | 5.3 | $3.99 \mathrm{e}-5$ |
|  |  | $2^{9}$ | 8.3 | $9.47 \mathrm{e}-8$ |
|  |  | $2^{10}$ | 14.8 | $5.61 \mathrm{e}-13$ |

## Credit Default Swaps

- Credit default swaps (CDSs), the basic building block of the credit risk market, offer investors the opportunity to either buy or sell default protection on a reference entity.
- The protection buyer pays a premium periodically for the possibility to get compensation if there is a credit event on the reference entity until maturity or the default time, which ever is first.
- If there is a credit event the protection seller covers the losses by returning the par value. The premium payments are based on the CDS spread.


## CDS and COS

- CDS spreads are based on a series of default/survival probabilities, that can be efficiently recovered using the COS method. It is also very flexible w.r.t. the underlying process as long as it is Lévy.
- The flexibility and the efficiency of the method are demonstrated via a calibration study of the iTraxx Series 7 and Series 8 quotes.


## Lévy Default Model

- Definition of default: For a given recovery rate, $R$, default occurs the first time the firm's value is below the "reference value" $R V_{0}$.
- As a result, the survival probability in the time period $(0, t]$ is nothing but the price of a digital down-and-out barrier option without discounting.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}_{\text {surv }}(t) & =\mathrm{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(X_{s}>\ln R, \text { for all } 0 \leq s \leq t\right) \\
& =\mathrm{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(\min _{0 \leq s \leq t} X_{s}>\ln R\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\mathbf{1}\left(\min _{0 \leq s \leq t} X_{s}>\ln R\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Survival Probability

- Assume there are only a finite number of observing dates.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\text {surv }}(\tau)=\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\mathbf { 1 } ( X _ { \tau _ { 1 } } \in [ \operatorname { l n } R , \infty ) ) \cdot \mathbf { 1 } ( X _ { \tau _ { 2 } } \in [ \operatorname { l n } R , \infty ) ) \cdots \mathbf { 1 } \left(X_{\tau_{M}} \in[\ln R\right.\right. \\
& \text { where } \tau_{k}=k \Delta \tau \text { and } \Delta \tau:=\tau / M
\end{aligned}
$$

- The survival probability then has the following recursive expression:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}_{\text {surv }}(\tau) & :=p\left(x=0, \tau_{0}\right) \\
p\left(x, \tau_{m}\right) & :=\int_{\ln R}^{\infty} f_{X_{\tau_{m+1}} \mid X_{\tau_{m}}}(y \mid x) p\left(y, \tau_{m+1}\right) d y, \quad m=M-1, \cdots, 2 \\
p\left(x, \tau_{M}\right) & :=1(x>\ln R) \text { and equals } 0 \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

 given $X_{\tau_{m}}$.

## The Fair Spread of a Credit Default Swap

- The fair spread, $C$, of a CDS at the initialization date is the spread that equalizes the present value of the premium leg and the present value of the protection leg, i.e.

$$
C=\frac{(1-R)\left(\int_{0}^{T} \exp (-r(s) s) \mathrm{dP}_{d e f}(s)\right)}{\int_{0}^{T} \exp (-r(s) s) \mathrm{P}_{\text {surv }}(s) \mathrm{d} s}
$$

- It is actually based on a series of survival probabilities on different time intervals:

$$
C=\frac{(1-R) \sum_{j=0}^{J} \frac{1}{2}\left[\exp \left(-r_{j} t_{j}\right)+\exp \left(-r_{j+1} t_{j+1}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{P}_{\text {surv }}\left(t_{j}\right)-\mathrm{P}_{\text {surv }}\left(t_{j+1}\right)\right]}{\sum_{j=0}^{J} \frac{1}{2}\left[\exp \left(-r_{j} t_{j}\right) \mathrm{P}_{\text {surv }}\left(t_{j}\right)+\exp \left(-r_{j+1} t_{j+1}\right) \mathrm{P}_{\text {surv }}\left(t_{j+1}\right)\right] \Delta t}
$$

## The COS Formula for Survival Probabilities

- Replace the conditional density by the COS (semi-analytical) expression, the survival probability then satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}_{\text {surv }}(\tau) & =p\left(x=0, \tau_{0}\right) . \\
p\left(x, \tau_{0}\right) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\prime N-1} \phi_{n}(x) \cdot P_{n}\left(\tau_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

- The only thing one needs is $\left\{P_{n}\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$, which can be recovered from $\left\{P_{n}\left(\tau_{M}\right)\right\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$ via backwards induction.


## Backwards Induction

- Starting from the definition of $P_{n}\left(\tau_{m}\right)$, we apply the COS reconstruction of $p\left(y, \tau_{m}\right)$ to get

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(\tau_{m}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\{\Omega \wedge\} \mathbf{P}\left(\tau_{m+1}\right),
$$

- Applying this recursively backwards in time, we get

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(\tau_{1}\right)=(\operatorname{Re}\{\Omega \Lambda\})^{M-1} \mathbf{P}\left(\tau_{M}\right)
$$

- For this recursive matrix-vector-product, there exists a fast algorithm, e.g.

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(\tau_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\Omega\left[\Lambda \operatorname{Re}\left\{\Omega\left[\Lambda \operatorname{Re}\left\{\Omega\left[\Lambda \mathbf{P}\left(t_{3}\right)\right]\right\}\right]\right\}\right]\right\}
$$

- The FFT algorithm can be applied because $\Omega=H+T$, where $H$ is a Hankel matrix and $T$ is a Toeplitz matrix.


## Convergence of Survival Probabilities

- Ideally, the survival probabilities should be monitored daily, i.e. $\Delta \tau=1 / 252$. That is, $M=252 T$, which is a bit too much for $T=5,7,10$ years.
- For Black-Scholes' model, there exist rigorous proof of the convergence of discrete barrier options to otherwise identical continuous options [Kou,2003].
- We observe similar convergence under NIG, CGMY:




## Error Convergence

- The error convergence of the COS method is usually exponential in N


Figure: Convergence of $\mathrm{P}_{\text {sur }}(\Delta \tau=1 / 48)$ w.r.t. $N$ for NIG and CGMY

## Calibration Setting

- The data sets: weekly quotes from iTraxx Series 7 (S7) and 8 (S8). After cleaning the data we were left with 119 firms from Series 7 and 123 firms from Series 8 . Out of these firms 106 are common to both Series.
- The interest rates: EURIBOR swap rates.
- We have chosen to calibrate the models to CDSs spreads with maturities $1,3,5,7$, and 10 years.


## The Objective Function

- To avoid the ill-posedness of the inverse problem we defined here, the objective function is set to

$$
F_{o b j}=\mathrm{rmse}+\gamma \cdot\left\|\mathbf{X}_{2}-\mathbf{X}_{1}\right\|_{2},
$$

where

$$
\text { rmse }=\sqrt{\sum_{\mathrm{CDS}} \frac{(\text { market CDS spread }- \text { model CDS spread })^{2}}{\text { number of CDSs on each day }}},
$$

$\|\cdot\| \|_{2}$ denotes the $L_{2}$-norm operator, and $\mathbf{X}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{1}$ denote the parameter vectors of two neighbor data sets.

## Good Fit to Market Data

Table: Summary of calibration results of all 106 firms in both S7 and S8 of iTraxx quotes

| RMSEs | NIG in S7 | CGMY in S7 | NIG in S8 | CGMY in S8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average (bp.) | 0.89 | 0.79 | 1.65 | 1.54 |
| Min. (bp.) | 0.22 | 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.46 |
| Max. (bp.) | 2.29 | 1.97 | 4.27 | 3.52 |

## A Typical Example



## An Extreme Case



## NIG Parameters for "ABN AMRO Bank"



Figure: Evolution of the NIG parameters and densities of "ABN AMRO Bank"

## NIG Parameters for "DSG International PLC"




Figure: Evolution of the NIG parameters and densities of "DSG International PLC"

## NIG vs. CGMY

Both Lévy processes gave good fits, but

- The NIG model returns more consistent measures from time to time and from one company to another.
- From a numerical point of view, the NIG model is also more preferable.
- Small $N$ (e.g. $N=2^{10}$ ) can be applied.
- The NIG model is much less sensitive to the initial guess of the optimum-searching procedure.
- Fast convergence to the optimal parameters are observed (usually within 200 function evaluations). However, averagely 500 to 600 evaluations for the CGMY model are needed.


## Truncation Range

$$
[a, b]:=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left(c_{1}+x_{0}\right)-L \sqrt{c_{2}+\sqrt{c_{4}}}, & \left(c_{1}+x_{0}\right)+L \sqrt{c_{2}+\sqrt{c_{4}}}
\end{array}\right],
$$

BS



CGMY


$$
-\mathrm{K}=80-*-\mathrm{K}=100 \cdots+-\mathrm{K}=120
$$



Merton


Kou


Table: Cumulants of $\operatorname{In}\left(S_{t} / K\right)$ for various models.

| BS | $c_{1}=\left(\mu-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\right) t, \quad c_{2}=\sigma^{2} t, \quad c_{4}=0$ |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| NIG | $c_{1}=\left(\mu-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}+w\right) t+\delta t \beta / \sqrt{\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}}$ |  |
|  | $c_{2}=\delta t \alpha^{2}\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)^{-3 / 2}$ |  |
|  | $c_{4}=3 \delta t \alpha^{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+4 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)^{-7 / 2}$ |  |
|  | $w=-\delta\left(\sqrt{\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}}-\sqrt{\alpha^{2}-(\beta+1)^{2}}\right)$ |  |
| Kou | $c_{1}=t\left(\mu+\frac{\lambda p}{\eta_{1}}+\frac{\lambda(1-p)}{\eta_{2}}\right)$ | $c_{2}=t\left(\sigma^{2}+2 \frac{\lambda p}{\eta_{1}^{2}}+2 \frac{\lambda(1-p)}{\eta_{2}^{2}}\right)$ |
|  | $c_{4}=24 t \lambda\left(\frac{p}{\eta_{1}^{4}}+\frac{1-p}{\eta_{2}^{4}}\right)$ | $w=\lambda\left(\frac{p}{\eta_{1}+1}-\frac{1-p}{\eta_{2}-1}\right)$ |
| Merton | $c_{1}=t(\mu+\lambda \bar{\mu})$ | $c_{2}=t\left(\sigma^{2}+\lambda \bar{\mu}^{2}+\bar{\sigma}^{2} \lambda\right)$ |
|  | $c_{4}=t \lambda\left(\bar{\mu}^{4}+6 \bar{\sigma}^{2} \bar{\mu}^{2}+3 \bar{\sigma}^{4} \lambda\right)$ |  |
| VG | $c_{1}=(\mu+\theta) t$ | $c_{2}=\left(\sigma^{2}+\nu \theta^{2}\right) t$ |
|  | $c_{4}=3\left(\sigma^{4} \nu+2 \theta^{4} \nu^{3}+4 \sigma^{2} \theta^{2} \nu^{2}\right) t$ | $w=\frac{1}{\nu} \ln \left(1-\theta \nu-\sigma^{2} \nu / 2\right)$ |
| CGMY | $c_{1}=\mu t+C t \Gamma(1-Y)\left(M^{Y-1}-G^{Y-1}\right)$ |  |
|  | $c_{2}=\sigma^{2} t+C t \Gamma(2-Y)\left(M^{Y-2}+G^{Y-2}\right)$ |  |
|  | $c_{4}=C t \Gamma(4-Y)\left(M^{Y-4}+G^{Y-4}\right)$ |  |
|  | $w=-C \Gamma(-Y)\left[(M-1)^{Y}-M^{Y}+(G+1)^{Y}-G^{Y}\right]$ |  |

where $w$ is the drift correction term that satisfies $\exp (-w t)=\varphi(-i, t)$.

## American Options and Extrapolation

Let $v(M)$ denote the value of a Bermudan option with $M$ early exercise dates, then we can rewrite the 3-times repeated Richardson extrapolation scheme as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{A M}(d)=\frac{1}{12}\left(64 v\left(2^{d+3}\right)-56 v\left(2^{d+2}\right)+14 v\left(2^{d+1}\right)-v\left(2^{d}\right)\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{A M}(d)$ denotes the approximated value of the American option.
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