DELFT UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY FACULTY OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING, MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE

ANSWERS OF THE TEST NUMERICAL METHODS FOR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS (WI3097 TU) Thursday April 17 2014, 18:30-21:30

1. a The local truncation error is defined by

$$\tau_{n+1}(h) := \frac{y_{n+1} - z_{n+1}}{h},\tag{1}$$

where $y_n := y(t_n)$ represents the exact solution and

$$z_{n+1} = y_n + hf(t_{n+1}, z_{n+1}), (2)$$

represents the approximation of the numerical solution at t_{n+1} upon using y_n for the previous time step. Since, we use the test equation $y' = \lambda y$, we express y_{n+1} in terms of y_n as follows

$$y_{n+1} = y_n e^{\lambda h} = y_n (1 + h\lambda + \frac{1}{2}h^2\lambda^2 + O(h^3)).$$
(3)

From (2), we use the test equation and the geometric series

$$z_{n+1} = \frac{y_n}{1 - h\lambda} = y_n (1 + h\lambda + h^2\lambda^2 + O(h^3)).$$
(4)

Substitution of equations (3) and (4) into the definition of the local truncation error, gives

$$\tau_{n+1}(h) = \frac{y_n}{h} \left(-\frac{h^2 \lambda^2}{2} + O(h^3) \right) = O(h).$$
 (5)

b Using the test equation, we get

$$w_{n+1} = w_n + h\lambda w_{n+1},\tag{6}$$

where w_n denotes the numerical approximation of y_n . The above equation implies

$$w_{n+1} = \frac{w_n}{1 - h\lambda} =: Q(h\lambda)w_n.$$
(7)

Here $Q(h\lambda)$ represents the amplification factor. For numerical stability, we require the modulus of the amplification factor to satisfy

$$|Q(h\lambda)| \le 1, \text{ hence } \left|\frac{1}{1-h\lambda}\right| = \frac{1}{|1-h\lambda|} \le 1.$$
(8)



Figure 1: The region of stability of the backward Euler method (grey area).

From the above equation, it is clear that

$$|1 - h\lambda| \ge 1,\tag{9}$$

and with $\lambda = \mu + i\nu$, we get

$$(1 - h\mu)^2 + (h\nu)^2 \ge 1.$$
(10)

This area is the whole complex plane except the unit circle with center (1,0), see Figure 1.

c Consider the equations that we have to solve

$$y'_{1} = y_{1}(1 - (y_{1} + 2y_{2})) =: f_{1}(y_{1}, y_{2}), y'_{2} = y_{2}(1 - (y_{1} + y_{2})) =: f_{2}(y_{1}, y_{2}),$$
(11)

Here, we introduced the functions $f_1(y_1, y_2)$ and $f_2(y_1, y_2)$. Then, the Jacobi matrix is given by

$$J(y_1, y_2) := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_1}(y_1, y_2) & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_2}(y_1, y_2) \\ \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y_1}(y_1, y_2) & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y_2}(y_1, y_2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2(y_1 + y_2) & -2y_1 \\ \\ \\ -y_2 & 1 - (y_1 + 2y_2) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(12)

For the equilibrium (0, 1), we have

$$J(y_1, y_2) := \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0\\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (13)

Hence both eigenvalues are given by $\lambda_1 = -1$ and $\lambda_2 = -1$.

d - We have $\lambda_1 = -1$ and $\lambda_2 = -1$, hence with h > 0, this implies that $h\lambda < 0$ (thus real-valued), then from Figure 1, it is clear that the backward Euler is stable for any h > 0.

- Since the eigenvalues are real-valued and negative, we use

$$h \le \frac{2}{|\lambda|},\tag{14}$$

as stability bound for the forward Euler method. With $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = -1$, we get $h \leq 2$ as the maximum allowable time step to warrant numerical stability, based on linear stability analysis around (0, 1).

e Applying the forward Euler time integration method to system (11), gives

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + hu_n(1 - (u_n + 2v_n)),$$

$$v_{n+1} = v_n + hv_n(1 - (u_n + v_n)).$$
(15)

where $\underline{w}_n = (u_n, v_n)^T$ denotes the numerical solution with components u_n and v_n . Using h = 1 and $u_0 = 0.25$ and $v_0 = 0.5$, gives

$$u_{1} = u_{0} + hu_{0}(1 - (u_{0} + 2v_{0})) = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}(1 - (\frac{1}{4} + 1)),$$

$$v_{1} = v_{0} + hv_{0}(1 - (u_{0} + v_{0})) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - (\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2})).$$
(16)

Hence $u_1 = \frac{3}{16} = 0.1875$ and $v_1 = \frac{5}{8} = 0.625$.

2. (a) The Taylor polynomials around 0 are given by:

$$f(0) = f(0) ,$$

$$f(-h) = f(0) - hf'(0) + \frac{h^2}{2}f''(0) - \frac{h^3}{6}f'''(\xi_1) ,$$

$$f(-2h) = f(0) - 2hf'(0) + 2h^2f''(0) - \frac{(2h)^3}{6}f'''(\xi_2) .$$

Here $\xi_1 \in (-h, 0)$, $\xi_2 \in (-2h, 0)$. We know that $Q(h) = \frac{\alpha_0}{h^2}f(0) + \frac{\alpha_{-1}}{h^2}f(-h) + \frac{\alpha_{-2}}{h^2}f(-2h)$, which should be equal to f''(0) + O(h). This leads to the following conditions:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(0): & \frac{\alpha_0}{h^2} & + & \frac{\alpha_{-1}}{h^2} & + & \frac{\alpha_{-2}}{h^2} & = & 0 \\ f'(0): & & -\frac{h\alpha_{-1}}{h^2} & - & \frac{2h\alpha_{-2}}{h^2} & = & 0 \\ f''(0): & & \frac{h^2}{2h^2}\alpha_{-1} & + & \frac{2h^2\alpha_{-2}}{h^2} & = & 1 \end{array}$$

This can also be written as

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(0): & \alpha_0 & + & \alpha_{-1} & + & \alpha_{-2} & = & 0 \\ f'(0): & & -\alpha_{-1} & - & 2\alpha_{-2} & = & 0 \\ f''(0): & & \frac{\alpha_{-1}}{2} & + & 2\alpha_{-2} & = & 1 \\ \end{array}$$

(b) The truncation error follows from the Taylor polynomials:

$$f''(0) - Q(h) = f''(0) - \frac{f(0) - 2f(-h) + f(-2h)}{h^2} = -\left(\frac{\frac{2h^3}{6}f'''(\xi_1) - \frac{8h^3}{6}f'''(\xi_2)}{h^2}\right)$$
$$= hf'''(\xi).$$

(c) Note that

$$f''(0) - Q(h) = Kh$$
(17)

$$f''(0) - Q(\frac{h}{2}) = K(\frac{h}{2})$$
(18)

Subtraction gives:

$$Q(\frac{h}{2}) - Q(h) = Kh - K\frac{h}{2} = K(\frac{h}{2}).$$
(19)

We choose $h = \frac{1}{2}$. Then $Q(h) = Q(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{0-2 \times 0.1250+1}{0.25} = 3$ and $Q(\frac{h}{2}) = Q(\frac{1}{4}) = \frac{0-2 \times 0.0156+0.1250}{(\frac{1}{4})^2} = 1.5008$. Combining (18) and (19) shows that

$$f''(0) - Q(\frac{1}{4}) = Q(\frac{1}{4}) - Q(\frac{1}{2}) = -1.4992$$

(d) To estimate the rounding error we note that

$$\begin{split} |Q(h) - \hat{Q}(h)| &= |\frac{(f(0) - \hat{f}(0)) - 2(f(-h) - \hat{f}(-h)) + (f(-2h) - \hat{f}(-2h))}{h^2}|\\ &\leq \frac{|f(0) - \hat{f}(0)| + 2|f(-h) - \hat{f}(-h)| + |f(-2h) - \hat{f}(-2h)|}{h^2} \leq \frac{4\epsilon}{h^2}, \end{split}$$

so $C_1 = 4$. Since only 4 digits are given the rounding error is: $\epsilon = 0.00005$. (e) The total error is bounded by

$$|f''(0) - \hat{Q}(h)| = |f''(0) - Q(h) + Q(h) - \hat{Q}(h)|$$

$$\leq |f''(0) - Q(h)| + |Q(h) - \hat{Q}(h)|$$

$$\leq 6h + \frac{4\epsilon}{h^2} = g(h)$$

This is minimal for h_{opt} , for which $g'(h_{opt}) = 0$. Note that $g'(h) = 6 - \frac{8\epsilon}{h^3}$. This implies that $h_{opt}^3 = \frac{4\epsilon}{3}$, so $h_{opt} = (\frac{4\epsilon}{3})^{\frac{1}{3}} \approx 0.0405$.