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1. a) The local truncation error is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{n+1}=\frac{y_{n+1}-z_{n+1}}{h} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{n+1}$ is the exact solution at $t_{n+1}$ and $z_{n+1}$ the value obtained by applying the given method at the exact solution point $\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{1} & =h f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right) \\
k_{2} & =h f\left(t_{n}+h, y_{n}+k_{1}\right) \\
z_{n+1} & =y_{n}+\beta k_{1}+(1-\beta) k_{2} . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Both $y_{n+1}$ and $z_{n+1}$ have to be expanded into a Taylor series at the point $\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)$. To start with $z_{n+1}, k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are substituted into the corrector part (2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n+1}=y_{n}+\beta h f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)+(1-\beta) h f\left(t_{n}+h, y_{n}+h f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next $f\left(t_{n}+h, y_{n}+h f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right)$ is expanded:

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(t_{n}+h, y_{n}+h f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right) & =f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)+h \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)+h f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)+\ldots \\
& =y_{n}^{\prime}+h\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}+f \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right]\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)+O\left(h^{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

using the differential equation $y^{\prime}=f(t, y)$.
In this expression $\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}+f \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right]\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ can be replaced by $y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{n}\right)=y_{n}^{\prime \prime}$, for

$$
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{d y^{\prime}}{d t}=\frac{d f}{d t}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{d y}{d t}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}+f \frac{\partial f}{\partial y},
$$

again using $y^{\prime}=f(t, y)$ in the last step.
As a result, (4) becomes:

$$
f\left(t_{n}+h, y_{n}+h f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right)=y_{n}^{\prime}+h y_{n}^{\prime \prime}+O\left(h^{2}\right)
$$

Substitution of this expression into (3) gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{n+1} & =y_{n}+\beta h y_{n}^{\prime}+(1-\beta) h\left(y_{n}^{\prime}+h y_{n}^{\prime \prime}+O\left(h^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =y_{n}+h y_{n}^{\prime}+(1-\beta) h^{2} y_{n}^{\prime \prime}+O\left(h^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitution of this expansion, together with the expansion for $y_{n+1}$ :

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h y_{n}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2} y_{n}^{\prime \prime}+O\left(h^{3}\right)
$$

into (1) yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{n+1} & =\frac{y_{n}+h y_{n}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} h^{2} y_{n}^{\prime \prime}+O\left(h^{3}\right)-\left[y_{n}+h y_{n}^{\prime}+(1-\beta) h^{2} y_{n}^{\prime \prime}+O\left(h^{3}\right)\right]}{h} \\
& =\left(\beta-\frac{1}{2}\right) h y_{n}^{\prime \prime}+O\left(h^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It turns out that the truncation error is $\mathrm{O}(h)$, except for $\beta=\frac{1}{2}$. Note that the predictor-corrector method is just Modified Euler for $\beta=\frac{1}{2}$.
b) The amplification factor is found by applying the method to the homogeneous test equation $y^{\prime}=\lambda y$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{1} & =h \lambda w_{n} \\
k_{2} & =h \lambda\left(w_{n}+h \lambda w_{n}\right)=h \lambda(1+h \lambda) w_{n} \\
w_{n+1} & =w_{n}+\beta h \lambda w_{n}+(1-\beta) h \lambda(1+h \lambda) w_{n} \\
& =\left[1+h \lambda+(1-\beta)(h \lambda)^{2}\right] w_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The amplification factor $Q(h \lambda)$ is seen to be $1+h \lambda+(1-\beta)(h \lambda)^{2}$.
c) To derive the stability condition we need the eigenvalues of the system

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \mathbf{x}
$$

These are purely imaginary: $\lambda_{1,2}= \pm i$, as can be seen easily.
For stability we require $|Q( \pm h i)|<1$ or, more conveniently, $|Q( \pm h i)|^{2}<1$.
From c):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|1 \pm h i+(1-\beta)( \pm h i)^{2}\right|^{2} & <1 \leftrightarrow \\
\left|1-(1-\beta) h^{2} \pm h i\right|^{2} & <1 \leftrightarrow \\
\left(1-(1-\beta) h^{2}\right)^{2}+h^{2} & <1 \leftrightarrow \\
1-2(1-\beta) h^{2}+(1-\beta)^{2} h^{4}+h^{2} & <1 \leftrightarrow \\
(1-\beta)^{2} h^{2} & <2(1-\beta)-1=1-2 \beta .
\end{aligned}
$$

(Note: the squared modulus of a complex number equals the sum of the squares of it's real and imaginary part.)
It now follows that

$$
h^{2}<\frac{1-2 \beta}{(1-\beta)^{2}}
$$

is required for stability.
Clearly, stability is possible only for $\beta<\frac{1}{2}$.
d) We have optimal stability if the upper bound for $h$ is as large as possible. So we have to investigate the behavior of the function $g(\beta)=\frac{1-2 \beta}{(1-\beta)^{2}}$ for $\beta<\frac{1}{2}$. The derivative of $g(\beta)$ is given: $\frac{-2 \beta}{(1-\beta)^{2}}$. This derivative is positive for $\beta<0$ and negative for $0<\beta<\frac{1}{2}$. So $g(\beta)$ assumes its maximum for $\beta=0, g(0)$ being 1 . The optimal stability condition for the considered system is therefore $h<1$.
e) First we compute the vectorial counterpart of $k_{1}$ :

$$
\mathbf{k}_{1}=h A \mathbf{y}_{0}=0.5 A\binom{1}{1}=\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Then we get

$$
\mathbf{y}_{0}+\mathbf{k}_{1}=\binom{\frac{3}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Hence

$$
\mathbf{k}_{2}=0.5 A\left(\mathbf{y}_{0}+\mathbf{k}_{1}\right)=0.5 A\left(\binom{1}{1}+\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)=0.5 A\binom{\frac{3}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}}=\binom{\frac{1}{4}}{-\frac{3}{4}} .
$$

With $\beta=0$, we get

$$
\mathbf{w}_{1}=\mathbf{y}_{0}+\mathbf{k}_{2}=\binom{1}{1}+\binom{\frac{1}{4}}{-\frac{3}{4}}=\binom{\frac{5}{4}}{\frac{1}{4}} .
$$

2. (a) The linear Lagrangian interpolatory polynomial, with nodes $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}(x)=\frac{x-x_{1}}{x_{0}-x_{1}} f\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{x-x_{0}}{x_{1}-x_{0}} f\left(x_{1}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is evident from application of the given formula.
(b) The quadratic Lagrangian interpolatory polynomial with nodes $x_{0}, x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2}(x)=\frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)}{\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right)} f\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)}{\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)} f\left(x_{1}\right)+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)}{\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)} f\left(x_{2}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is also evident from application of the given formula.
(c) To this extent, we compute $p_{1}(0.5)$ and $p_{2}(0.5)$ for both linear and quadratic Lagrangian interpolation as approximations at $x=0.5$. For linear interpolation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}(0.5)=0.5+\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2=\frac{3}{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for quadratic interpolation, one obtains
$p_{2}(0.5)=\frac{(0.5-1)(0.5-2)}{1 \cdot(-2)} \cdot 1+\frac{(0.5-0)(0.5-2)}{1 \cdot(-1)} \cdot 2+\frac{(0.5-0)(0.5-1)}{2 \cdot 1} \cdot 4=\frac{11}{8}=1.375$.
(d) The difference between the exact polynomial $p$ and the perturbed polynomial $\hat{p}$ is bounded by

$$
|p(x)-\hat{p}(x)| \leq \frac{\left|x_{1}-x\right|\left|f\left(x_{0}\right)-\hat{f}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|+\left|x-x_{0}\right|\left|f\left(x_{1}\right)-\hat{f}\left(x_{1}\right)\right|}{x_{1}-x_{0}} \leq \frac{\left|x_{1}-x\right|+\left|x-x_{0}\right|}{x_{1}-x_{0}} \varepsilon .
$$

For interpolation we know that $x_{0} \leq x \leq x_{1}$ so the inequality simplifies to

$$
|p(x)-\hat{p}(x)| \leq \frac{x_{1}-x+x-x_{0}}{x_{1}-x_{0}} \varepsilon=\frac{x_{1}-x_{0}}{x_{1}-x_{0}} \varepsilon=\varepsilon,
$$

so the maximal error is bounded by $\varepsilon$.
(e) The iteration process is a fixed point method. If the process converges we have: $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=p$. Using this in the iteration process yields:

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[x_{n}+h\left(x_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}^{3}-3\right)\right]
$$

Since $h$ is a continuous function one obtains:

$$
p=p+h(p)\left(p^{3}-3\right)
$$

so

$$
h(p)\left(p^{3}-3\right)=0 .
$$

Since $h(x) \neq 0$ for each $x \neq 0$ it follows that $p^{3}-3=0$ and thus $p=3^{\frac{1}{3}}$.
(f) The convergence of a fixed point method $x_{n+1}=g\left(x_{n}\right)$ is determined by $g^{\prime}(p)$. If $\left|g^{\prime}(p)\right|<1$ the method converges, whereas if $\left|g^{\prime}(p)\right|>1$ the method diverges. For all choices we compute the first derivative in $p$. For the first method we elaborate all steps. For the other methods we only give the final result. For $h_{1}$ we have $g_{1}(x)=x-\frac{x^{3}-3}{x^{4}}$. The first derivative is:

$$
g_{1}^{\prime}(x)=1-\frac{3 x^{2} \cdot x^{4}-\left(x^{3}-3\right) \cdot 4 x^{3}}{\left(x^{4}\right)^{2}}
$$

Substitution of $p$ yields:

$$
g_{1}^{\prime}(p)=1-\frac{3 p^{6}-\left(p^{3}-3\right) \cdot 4 p^{3}}{p^{8}}
$$

Since $p=3^{\frac{1}{3}}$ the final term cancels:

$$
g_{1}^{\prime}(p)=1-\frac{3 p^{6}}{p^{8}}=1-3^{\frac{1}{3}}=-0.4422 .
$$

This implies that the method is convergent with convergence factor 0.4422 .
For the second method we have:

$$
g_{2}^{\prime}(p)=1-\frac{3 p^{4}-\left(p^{3}-3\right) \cdot 2 p}{p^{4}}=1-\frac{3 p^{4}}{p^{4}}=-2
$$

Thus the method diverges.
For the third method we have:

$$
g_{3}^{\prime}(p)=1-\frac{9 p^{4}-\left(p^{3}-3\right) \cdot 6 p}{9 p^{4}}=1-\frac{9 p^{4}}{9 p^{4}}=0
$$

Thus the method is convergent with convergence factor 0 .
Concluding we note that the third method is the fastest.
(g) To estimate the error in $p$ we first approximate the function $f$ in the neighboorhood of $p$ by the first order Taylor polynomial:

$$
P_{1}(x)=f(p)+(x-p) f^{\prime}(p)=(x-p) f^{\prime}(p)
$$

Due to the measurement errors we know that

$$
(x-p) f^{\prime}(p)-\epsilon_{\max } \leq \hat{P}_{1}(x) \leq(x-p) f^{\prime}(p)+\epsilon_{\max } .
$$

This implies that the perturbed root $\hat{p}$ is bounded by the roots of $(x-p) f^{\prime}(p)-$ $\epsilon_{\max }$ and $(x-p) f^{\prime}(p)+\epsilon_{\max }$, which leads to

$$
p-\frac{\epsilon_{\max }}{\left|f^{\prime}(p)\right|} \leq \hat{p} \leq p+\frac{\epsilon_{\max }}{\left|f^{\prime}(p)\right|}
$$

