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1. (a) The local truncation error is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{n+1}(\Delta t)=\frac{y_{n+1}-z_{n+1}}{\Delta t} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{n+1}$ is computed by one step of the method starting from $y_{n}$, and we determine $y_{n+1}$ by the use of a Taylor Series around $t_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\Delta t y^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)+\frac{\Delta t^{2}}{2} y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{n}\right)+O\left(\Delta t^{3}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We realize that

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right) \\
y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{n}\right)=\frac{d f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)}{d t}=\frac{\partial f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)}{\partial y} y^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)=  \tag{3}\\
=\frac{\partial f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)}{\partial y} f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\Delta t y^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)+\frac{\Delta t^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)}{\partial y} f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right)+O\left(\Delta t^{3}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $z_{n+1}$, after substitution of the predictor-step for $z_{n+1}^{*}$ into the corrector-step, and using the Taylor Series around $\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{n+1}=y_{n}+\frac{\Delta t}{2}\left(f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)+f\left(t_{n}+\Delta t, y_{n}+\Delta t f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right)\right)= \\
& y_{n}+\frac{\Delta t}{2}\left(f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)+f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)+\Delta t\left(\frac{\partial f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)}{\partial t}+f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right) \frac{\partial f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)}{\partial y}\right)+O\left(\Delta t^{2}\right)\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}-z_{n+1}=O\left(\Delta t^{3}\right), \text { and hence } \tau_{n+1}(\Delta t)=\frac{O\left(\Delta t^{3}\right)}{\Delta t}=O\left(\Delta t^{2}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Consider the test-equation $y^{\prime}=\lambda y$, then it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{n+1}^{*}=w_{n}+\lambda \Delta t w_{n}=(1+\lambda \Delta t) w_{n} \\
& w_{n+1}=w_{n}+\frac{\Delta t}{2}\left(\lambda w_{n}+\lambda w_{n+1}^{*}\right)=  \tag{7}\\
& =w_{n}+\frac{\Delta t}{2}\left(\lambda w_{n}+\lambda\left(w_{n}+\lambda \Delta t w_{n}\right)\right)=\left(1+\lambda \Delta t+\frac{(\lambda \Delta t)^{2}}{2}\right) w_{n} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the amplification factor is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\lambda \Delta t)=1+\lambda \Delta t+\frac{(\lambda \Delta t)^{2}}{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Let $x_{1}=y$ and $x_{2}=y^{\prime}$, then it follows that $y^{\prime \prime}=x_{2}^{\prime}$, and hence we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{2}^{\prime}+2 x_{2}+2 x_{1}=\sin (t),  \tag{9}\\
& x_{2}=x_{1}^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

This expression is written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}^{\prime}=x_{2} \\
& x_{2}^{\prime}=-2 x_{1}-2 x_{2}+\sin (t) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we get the following matrix-form:

$$
\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1  \tag{11}\\
-2 & -2
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}+\binom{0}{\sin (t)}
$$

Here, we have $A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -2 & -2\end{array}\right)$ and $f=\binom{0}{\sin (t)}$. The initial conditions are given by $\binom{x_{1}(0)}{x_{2}(0)}=\binom{1}{2}$.
(d) The Modified Euler Method, applied to the system $\underline{x}^{\prime}=A \underline{x}+\underline{f}$, gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{w}_{1}^{*}=\underline{w}_{0}+\Delta t\left(A \underline{w}_{0}+\underline{f}_{0}\right) \\
& \underline{w}_{1}=\underline{w}_{0}+\frac{\Delta t}{2}\left(A \underline{w}_{0}+\underline{f}_{0}+A \underline{w}_{1}^{*}+\underline{f}_{1}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

With the initial condition and $\Delta t=0.1$, this gives

$$
\underline{w}_{1}^{*}=\binom{1}{2}+\frac{1}{10}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1  \tag{13}\\
-2 & -2
\end{array}\right)\binom{1}{2}+\binom{0}{0}\right)=\binom{1.2}{1.4} .
$$

Then, the correction-step is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{w}_{1}=\binom{1}{2}+\frac{1}{20}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-2 & -2
\end{array}\right)\binom{1}{2}+\binom{0}{0}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-2 & -2
\end{array}\right)\binom{1.2}{1.4}+\binom{0}{\sin \left(\frac{1}{10}\right)}\right)= \\
& =\binom{1.17}{1.445} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

(e) To this extent, we determine the eigenvalues of the matrix $A$. Subsequently, these eigenvalues are substituted into the amplification factor. The eigenvalues of $A$ are given by $-1 \pm i$. Using $\Delta t=1$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\lambda \Delta t)=1+\lambda \Delta t+\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2} \Delta t^{2}=1+(-1+i)+\frac{1}{2}(-1+i)^{2}=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Herewith, it follows that $|Q(\lambda \Delta t)|^{2}=0<1$. Hence for $\Delta t=1$, it follows that the method applied to the given system is stable. Note that this conclusion holds for both the eigenvalues of $A$ since they are complex conjugates.
2. (a) The first order backward difference formula for the first derivative is given by

$$
d^{\prime}(t) \approx \frac{d(t)-d(t-h)}{h}
$$

Using $t=20$, and $h=10$ the approximation of the velocity is

$$
\frac{d(20)-d(10)}{10}=\frac{100-40}{10}=6(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})
$$

(b) Taylor polynomials are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(0) & =d(2 h)-2 h d^{\prime}(2 h)+2 h^{2} d^{\prime \prime}(2 h)-\frac{(2 h)^{3}}{6} d^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\xi_{0}\right), \\
d(h) & =d(2 h)-h d^{\prime}(2 h)+\frac{h^{2}}{2} d^{\prime \prime}(2 h)-\frac{h^{3}}{6} d^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right), \\
d(2 h) & =d(2 h) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We know that $Q(h)=\frac{\alpha_{0}}{h} d(0)+\frac{\alpha_{1}}{h} d(h)+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{h} d(2 h)$, which should be equal to $d^{\prime}(2 h)+O\left(h^{2}\right)$. This leads to the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\alpha_{0}}{h}+\frac{\alpha_{1}}{h}+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{h} & =0 \\
-2 \alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1} & =1 \\
2 \alpha_{0} h+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1} h & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) The truncation error follows from the Taylor polynomials:

$$
d^{\prime}(2 h)-Q(h)=d^{\prime}(2 h)-\frac{d(0)-4 d(h)+3 d(2 h)}{2 h}=\frac{\frac{8 h^{3}}{6} d^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\xi_{0}\right)-4\left(\frac{h^{3}}{6} d^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right)}{2 h}=\frac{1}{3} h^{2} d^{\prime \prime \prime}(\xi) .
$$

Using the new formula with $h=10$ we obtain the estimate:

$$
\frac{d(0)-4 d(10)+3 d(20)}{20}=\frac{0-4 \times 40+3 \times 100}{20}=7(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}) .
$$

3. (a) Newton-Raphson's method is an iterative method to find $p \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(p)=0$. Suppose $f \in C^{2}[a, b]$. Let $\bar{x} \in[a, b]$ be an approximation of the root $p$ such that $f^{\prime}(\bar{x}) \neq 0$, and suppose that $|p-\bar{x}|$ is small. Consider the first-degree Taylor polynomial about $\bar{x}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=f(\bar{x})+(x-\bar{x}) f^{\prime}(\bar{x})+\frac{(x-\bar{x})^{2}}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\xi(x)), \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\xi(x)$ between $x$ and $\bar{x}$. Using that $f(p)=0$, equation (16) yields

$$
0=f(\bar{x})+(p-\bar{x}) f^{\prime}(\bar{x})+\frac{(p-\bar{x})^{2}}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\xi(x))
$$

Because $|p-\bar{x}|$ is small, $(p-\bar{x})^{2}$ can be neglected, such that

$$
0 \approx f(\bar{x})+(p-\bar{x}) f^{\prime}(\bar{x})
$$

Note that the right-hand side is the formula for the tangent in $(\bar{x}, f(\bar{x}))$. Solving for $p$ yields

$$
p \approx \bar{x}-\frac{f(\bar{x})}{f^{\prime}(\bar{x})}
$$

This motivates the Newton-Raphson method, that starts with an approximation $p_{0}$ and generates a sequence $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ by

$$
p_{n}=p_{n-1}-\frac{f\left(p_{n-1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(p_{n-1}\right)}, \quad \text { for } \quad n \geq 1
$$

Remark 1 One can also give a graphical derivation following Figure 4.2 from the book.
(b) The first derivative of $g$ equals

$$
g^{\prime}(x)=1-\frac{\left(f^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}-f(x) f^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\left(f^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}}=\frac{f(x) f^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\left(f^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}}
$$

Substitution of $f(x)=\sin (x), f^{\prime}(x)=\cos (x)$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(x)=-\sin (x)$ yields

$$
g^{\prime}(x)=-\frac{\sin ^{2}(x)}{\cos ^{2}(x)}=-\tan ^{2}(x) .
$$

Since $\tan (-\pi / 4)=-1, \tan (\pi / 4)=1$ and the tangent function is monotonically increasing on the interval $[-1,1]$ any initial guess inside the interval $(-1,1)$ will lead to a convergent iteration process.
(c) It follows from the linearization of the function $\mathbf{f}$ about the iterate $\mathbf{x}_{n-1}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}(\mathbf{p}) & \approx f_{1}\left(\mathbf{p}^{(n-1)}\right)+\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial p_{1}}\left(\mathbf{p}^{(n-1)}\right)\left(p_{1}-p_{1}^{(n-1)}\right)+\ldots+\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial p_{m}}\left(\mathbf{p}^{(n-1)}\right)\left(p_{m}-p_{m}^{(n-1)}\right) \\
& \vdots \\
f_{m}(\mathbf{p}) & \approx f_{m}\left(\mathbf{p}^{(n-1)}\right)+\frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial p_{1}}\left(\mathbf{p}^{(n-1)}\right)\left(p_{1}-p_{1}^{(n-1)}\right)+\ldots+\frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial p_{m}}\left(\mathbf{p}^{(n-1)}\right)\left(p_{m}-p_{m}^{(n-1)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Defining the Jacobian matrix of $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ by

$$
\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}(\mathbf{x}) & \ldots & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{m}}(\mathbf{x}) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{1}}(\mathbf{x}) & \ldots & \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{m}}(\mathbf{x})
\end{array}\right)
$$

the linearization can be written in the more compact form

$$
\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{p}) \approx \mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{p}^{(n-1)}\right)+\mathbf{J}\left(\mathbf{p}^{(n-1)}\right)\left(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}^{(n-1)}\right)
$$

The next iterate, $\mathbf{p}^{(n)}$, is obtained by setting the linearization equal to zero:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{p}^{(n-1)}\right)+\mathbf{J}\left(\mathbf{p}^{(n-1)}\right)\left(\mathbf{p}^{(n)}-\mathbf{p}^{(n-1)}\right)=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{J}\left(\mathbf{p}^{(n-1)}\right) \mathbf{s}^{(n)}=-\mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{p}^{(n-1)}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{s}^{(n)}=\mathbf{p}^{(n)}-\mathbf{p}^{(n-1)}$. The new approximation equals $\mathbf{p}^{(n)}=\mathbf{p}^{(n-1)}+\mathbf{s}^{(n)}$. Finally, Newton-Raphson's formula for general nonlinear problems reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}^{(n)}=\mathbf{p}^{(n-1)}-\mathbf{J}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{p}^{(n-1)}\right) \mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{p}^{(n-1)}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) First, we rewrite the system into the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=0  \tag{20}\\
& f_{2}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

by setting

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right):=18 w_{1}-9 w_{2}+\left(w_{1}\right)^{2} \\
& f_{2}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right):=-9 w_{1}+18 w_{2}+\left(w_{2}\right)^{2}-9 . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

We denote the Jacobi-matrix by $J\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$. At the first step we compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{w}^{(1)}=\underline{w}^{(0)}-J\left(\underline{w}^{(0)}\right)^{-1} F\left(\underline{w}^{(0)}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\underline{w}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}w_{1} & w_{2}\end{array}\right]^{T}$. Note that

$$
J\left(\underline{w}^{(0)}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
18+2 w_{1}^{(0)} & -9  \tag{23}\\
-9 & 18+2 w_{2}^{(0)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Using $w_{1}^{(0)}=w_{2}^{(0)}=0$ we obtain:

$$
J\left(\underline{w}^{(0)}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
18 & -9  \tag{24}\\
-9 & 18
\end{array}\right) .
$$

This implies that

$$
J\left(\underline{w}^{(0)}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{18^{2}-81}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
18 & 9  \tag{25}\\
9 & 18
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Furthermore

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\underline{w}^{(0)}\right)=\binom{0}{-9}, \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

so

$$
\underline{w}^{(1)}=\binom{0}{0}-\frac{1}{18^{2}-81}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
18 & 9  \tag{27}\\
9 & 18
\end{array}\right)\binom{0}{-9}=\binom{\frac{1}{3}}{\frac{2}{3}} .
$$

