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1. (a) The local truncation error is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{n+1}(\Delta t)=\frac{y_{n+1}-z_{n+1}}{\Delta t} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{n+1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n+1}=y_{n}+\Delta t\left(a_{1} f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)+a_{2} f\left(t_{n}+\Delta t, y_{n}+\Delta t f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right) .\right. \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A Taylor expansion of $f$ around $\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ of the last term yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(t_{n}+\Delta t, y_{n}+\Delta t f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right)= & f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)+\Delta t \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right) \\
& +\Delta t f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^{2}\right) \\
= & y^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)+\Delta t y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{n}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is substituted into Equation (2) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{n+1} & =y_{n}+\Delta t\left(a_{1} f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)+a_{2}\left[y^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)+\Delta t y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{n}\right)+\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)^{2}\right]\right) \\
& =y_{n}+\Delta t y^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)+a_{2} \Delta t^{2} y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{n}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^{3}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

A Taylor series for $y(t)$ around $t_{n}$ gives for $y_{n+1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\Delta t y^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2}(\Delta t)^{2} y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{n}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^{3}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (4) and (3) are substituted into relation (1) to obtain

$$
\tau_{n+1}=\left(\frac{1}{2}-a_{2}\right) \Delta t y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{n}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^{2}\right) .
$$

Hence $\tau_{n+1}=\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)$ in general.
If $a_{2}=\frac{1}{2}$, we have $\tau_{n+1}=\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^{2}\right)$.
(b) The test equation is given by

$$
y^{\prime}=\lambda y .
$$

Application of the predictor step to the test equation gives

$$
w_{n+1}^{*}=w_{n}+\lambda \Delta t w_{n}=(1+\lambda \Delta t) w_{n} .
$$

The corrector step yields

$$
w_{n+1}=w_{n}+\Delta t\left(a_{1} \lambda w_{n}+a_{2} \lambda(1+\lambda \Delta t) w_{n}\right)=\left(1+\lambda \Delta t+a_{2}(\lambda \Delta t)^{2}\right) w_{n} .
$$

Hence the amplification factor is given by

$$
Q(\lambda \Delta t)=1+\lambda \Delta t+a_{2}(\lambda \Delta t)^{2} .
$$

(c) Let $\lambda<0$ (so $\lambda$ is real), then, for stability, the amplification factor must satisfy

$$
-1 \leq Q(\lambda \Delta t) \leq 1
$$

From the previous assignment, we have

$$
-1 \leq 1+\lambda \Delta t+a_{2}(\lambda \Delta t)^{2} \leq 1
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
-2 \leq \lambda \Delta t+a_{2}(\lambda \Delta t)^{2} \leq 0
$$

First, we consider the left inequality:

$$
a_{2}(\lambda \Delta t)^{2}+\lambda \Delta t+2 \geq 0
$$

For $\Delta t=0$, the above inequality is satisfied $(2 \geq 0)$.
The discriminant of the quadratic inequality in $\Delta t$ is given by $D=\lambda^{2}\left(1-8 a_{2}\right)$. From the given assumption it follows that $D<0$ so the quadratic equation does not have real roots. Hence the left inequality in relation (1) is always satisfied.
Next we consider the right hand inequality of relation (1)

$$
a_{2}(\lambda \Delta t)^{2}+\lambda \Delta t \leq 0
$$

This relation is rearranged into

$$
a_{2}(\lambda \Delta t)^{2} \leq-\lambda \Delta t
$$

hence

$$
\Delta t \leq \frac{-1}{a_{2} \lambda}
$$

is the only stability condition.
(d) In order to compute the bound for $\Delta t$ we need the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix in the initial point and we note that the right-hand side of the non linear system is given by:

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{1}\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\cos x_{1}-2 x_{2}+t \\
f_{2}\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} x_{1}-x_{2}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

From the definition of the Jacobian it follows that:

$$
J\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} \\
\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\sin x_{1} & -2 \\
\frac{1}{2} & -2 x_{2}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Substitution of $\left[\begin{array}{l}x_{1}(0) \\ x_{2}(0)\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}\pi \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ and $t=0$ shows that

$$
J(0, \pi, 1)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -2 \\
\frac{1}{2} & -2
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The eigenvalues of $J(0, \pi, 1)$ are calculated as $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=-1$.
Because $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are real and negative, the stability bound from question (c) can be applied. Therefore the given method applied to the given system is stable for $\Delta t>0$ satisfying

$$
\Delta t \leq \frac{-1}{\frac{1}{2}(-1)}=2
$$

at $t=0$.
(e) With $\mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{x})=\left[f_{1}\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right), f_{2}\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]^{T}$ and $\mathbf{x}=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]^{T}$, applying the method as stated gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{w}_{0} & =\left[\begin{array}{l}
\pi \\
1
\end{array}\right], \\
\mathbf{f}\left(0, \mathbf{w}_{0}\right) & =\left[\begin{array}{c}
-3 \\
\frac{1}{2} \pi-1
\end{array}\right], \\
\mathbf{w}_{1}^{*} & =\left[\begin{array}{c}
\pi-3 \\
\frac{1}{2} \pi
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.1415 \\
1.5707
\end{array}\right], \\
\mathbf{f}\left(1, \mathbf{w}_{1}^{*}\right) & =\left[\begin{array}{c}
\cos (\pi-3)-\pi+1 \\
\frac{1}{2} \pi-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{4} \pi^{2}
\end{array}\right], \\
\mathbf{w}_{1} & =\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} \pi+\frac{1}{2} \cos (\pi-3)-1 \\
\frac{1}{2} \pi-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{8} \pi^{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1.0658 \\
0.0871
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. (a) The solution and its first and second derivative are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(x) & =e^{x}(2-x), \\
y^{\prime}(x) & =e^{x}(1-x), \\
y^{\prime \prime}(x) & =-x e^{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitution of the above in the left hand side of the ordinary differential equation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
-y^{\prime \prime}(x)+y(x) & =-x e^{x}+e^{x}(2-x) \\
& =-x e^{x}+2 e^{2}-x e^{x} \\
& =2 e^{x},
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that it is indeed a solution to the given ode.
The left boundary condition is also satisfied:

$$
y(0)=e^{0}(2-0)=2,
$$

and so is the right boundary condition:

$$
y^{\prime}(1)=e^{1}(1-1)=0 .
$$

(b) The given formulas show that central difference approximations are used so we need formulae with a local truncation error of $\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta x^{2}\right)$.
Evaulation of the ode in $x=x_{j}$ and replacing $y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j}\right)$ with a finite difference of $\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta x^{2}\right)$ gives

$$
-\frac{y\left(x_{j+1}\right)-2 y\left(x_{j}\right)+y\left(x_{j-1}\right)}{\Delta x^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta x^{2}\right)+y\left(x_{j}\right)=2 e^{j \Delta x} .
$$

Next, we neglect the truncation error, and set $w_{j} \approx y\left(x_{j}\right)$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{w_{j+1}-2 w_{j}+w_{j-1}}{\Delta x^{2}}+w_{j}=2 e^{j \Delta x} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the second of the given equations.
At the left boundary, $x=0$, we have $w_{0}=2$, which after substitution in (5) for $j=1$ gives

$$
-\frac{w_{2}-2 w_{1}}{\Delta x^{2}}+w_{1}=2 e^{\Delta x}+\frac{2}{\Delta x^{2}},
$$

which is the first of the given equations.
At the right boundary, $x=1$, we approximate $y^{\prime}(1)$ with a second-order central finite-difference:

$$
\frac{y\left(x_{n+1}\right)-y\left(x_{n-1}\right)}{2 \Delta x}+\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta x^{2}\right)=0
$$

which after neglecting the errors results in

$$
w_{n+1}=w_{n-1} .
$$

Substitution of the above in (5) with $j=n$ gives

$$
-\frac{-2 w_{n}+2 w_{n-1}}{\Delta x^{2}}+w_{n}=2 e
$$

which is the third of the given equations.
(c) Next, we use $\Delta x=1 / 3$, so $n=3$ and then, from the given equations, one obtains the following system:

$$
\begin{aligned}
19 w_{1}-9 w_{2} & =2 e^{1 / 3}+18 \\
-9 w_{1}+19 w_{2}-9 w_{3} & =2 e^{2 / 3} \\
-18 w_{2}+19 w_{3} & =2 e
\end{aligned}
$$

This means with $\mathbf{w}=\left[w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right]^{T}$ that

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
19 & -9 & 0 \\
-9 & 19 & -9 \\
0 & -18 & 19
\end{array}\right],
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 e^{1 / 3}+18 \\
2 e^{2 / 3} \\
2 e
\end{array}\right]
$$

3. (a) The quadratic Lagrangian interpolation polynomial, with nodes $x_{0}, x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ is given by

$$
L_{2}(x)=f\left(x_{0}\right) L_{02}(x)+f\left(x_{1}\right) L_{12}(x)+f\left(x_{2}\right) L_{22}(x) .
$$

Approximating $f(x)$ by $L_{2}(x)$ and integration over $x$ from $x_{0}=a$ to $x_{2}=b$ gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \mathrm{d} x & \approx \int_{a}^{b} L_{2}(x) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\int_{a}^{b} f(a) L_{02}(x)+f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) L_{12}(x)+f(b) L_{22}(x) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =f(a) \int_{a}^{b} L_{02}(x) \mathrm{d} x+f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_{a}^{b} L_{12}(x) \mathrm{d} x+f(b) \int_{a}^{b} L_{22}(x) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =f(a) \frac{b-a}{6}+f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \frac{2(b-a)}{3}+f(b) \frac{b-a}{6} \\
& =\frac{b-a}{6}\left(f(a)+4 f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+f(b)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) A polynomial $p(x)$ of degree 3 or lower has the general form and derivatives

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(x) & =a x^{3}+b x^{2}+c x+d, \\
p^{\prime}(x) & =3 a x^{2}+2 b x+c, \\
p^{\prime \prime}(x) & =6 a x+2 b, \\
p^{(3)}(x) & =6 a, \\
p^{(4)}(x) & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the last line follows $m_{4}=0$, which after substitution in the upperbound gives

$$
\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \mathrm{d} x-I\right|=0
$$

i.e. the approximation is exact.
(c) Applying Simpson's rule with $a=0, b=1$ and $f(x)=x^{4}$ results in

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} x^{4} \mathrm{~d} x & \approx \frac{1}{6}\left(0^{4}+4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{4}+1^{4}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{6}\left(0+\frac{1}{4}+1\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{6} \frac{5}{4} \\
& =\frac{5}{24}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
\int_{0}^{1} x^{4} \mathrm{~d} x=\frac{1}{5},
$$

so the absolute value of the truncation error equals

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{1} x^{4} \mathrm{~d} x-I\right|=\left|\frac{1}{5}-\frac{5}{24}\right|=\left|-\frac{1}{120}\right|=\frac{1}{120} .
$$

